

Chemoresistance and Chemosensitivity Assays

MEDICAL POLICY NUMBER: 121

Effective Date: 6/1/2025	COVERAGE CRITERIA	2
Last Review Date: 5/2025	POLICY CROSS REFERENCES	2
Next Annual Review: 5/2026	POLICY GUIDELINES	3
	REGULATORY STATUS.....	3
	CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
	HEALTH EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS	5
	BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING	5
	REFERENCES	6
	POLICY REVISION HISTORY	6

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE: Company Medical Policies serve as guidance for the administration of plan benefits. Medical policies do not constitute medical advice nor a guarantee of coverage. Company Medical Policies are reviewed annually and are based upon published, peer-reviewed scientific evidence and evidence-based clinical practice guidelines that are available as of the last policy update. The Company reserves the right to determine the application of medical policies and make revisions to medical policies at any time. The scope and availability of all plan benefits are determined in accordance with the applicable coverage agreement. Any conflict or variance between the terms of the coverage agreement and Company Medical Policy will be resolved in favor of the coverage agreement. Coverage decisions are made on the basis of individualized determinations of medical necessity and the experimental or investigational character of the treatment in the individual case. In cases where medical necessity is not established by policy for specific treatment modalities, evidence not previously considered regarding the efficacy of the modality that is presented shall be given consideration to determine if the policy represents current standards of care.

SCOPE: Providence Health Plan, Providence Health Assurance, and Providence Plan Partners as applicable (referred to individually as “Company” and collectively as “Companies”).

PLAN PRODUCT AND BENEFIT APPLICATION

☒ Commercial

☐ Medicaid/OHP*

☐ Medicare**

*Medicaid/OHP Members

Oregon: Services requested for Oregon Health Plan (OHP) members follow the OHP Prioritized List and Oregon Administrative Rules (OARs) as the primary resource for coverage determinations. Medical policy criteria below may be applied when there are no criteria available in the OARs and the OHP Prioritized List.

Chemoresistance and Chemosensitivity Assays/Genetic Testing: PHA members must meet the genetic testing criteria governed by the Oregon Health Plan Prioritized List and the OHP Diagnostic Procedure Codes located in procedure group 1119.

**Medicare Members

This Company policy may be applied to Medicare Plan members only when directed by a separate Medicare policy. Note that investigational services are considered “**not medically necessary**” for Medicare members.

COVERAGE CRITERIA

- I. Chemoresistance and chemosensitivity assays are considered **not medically necessary** in the treatment of cancer. Examples of these tests include, but are not limited to the following:
 - A. BioSpeciFx
 - B. ChemoFX
 - C. ChemoID
 - D. CorrectChemo
 - E. Ex-Vivo Analysis of Programmed Cell Death (EVA-PCD)
 - F. Histoculture Drug Response Assay
 - G. Oncotech Extreme Drug Resistance [EDR] Assay for Solid Tumor®
 - H. 3D Predict Glioma (KIYATEC)
 - I. 3D Predict Ovarian Doublet Panel (KIYATEC)
 - J. 3D Predict Ovarian PARP Panel (KIYATEC)

Link to [Evidence Summary](#)

POLICY CROSS REFERENCES

None

The full Company portfolio of current Medical Policies is available online and can be [accessed here](#).

POLICY GUIDELINES

Protocols for treating cancer are currently based on the type and stage of the cancer. Chemoresistance and chemosensitivity (e.g., Oncotech Assays and Chemo FX Assay) tests have been proposed as a method predicting the effect of specific drugs on tumors in order to assist in chemotherapy selection. However, current evidence has not demonstrated that these tests have been used to alter treatment decisions which have led to improved health outcomes.

REGULATORY STATUS

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)

Approval or clearance by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not in itself establish medical necessity or serve as a basis for coverage. Therefore, this section is provided for informational purposes only.

CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW

EVIDENCE REVIEW

Systematic Reviews

In 2022, Hayes assessed the validity and utility of the ChemoFx Assay (Helomics).¹ No studies assessed the analytical validity of the current commercial version of the ChemoFx test in its entirety. Two studies reported failures in the in vitro cell culture (1 step in the test's process) and 1 study reported unspecified quality control issues, each in a small proportion of samples. Evidence from 4 very-poor-quality studies suggested that patients treated with ChemoFx test-sensitive chemotherapies are likely to have improved progression-free or overall survival. However, the strength of the association is weak and limitations in study design and execution, including patient overlap between studies, use of simulated data, and a focus on ovarian and related cancers (e.g., fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal cancer), constitute insufficient evidence that the ChemoFx test results are highly likely to predict a patient's response to a given chemotherapeutic agent. No peer-reviewed studies were identified that addressed the clinical utility of the ChemoFx test.

Investigators concluded that there is a very-low-quality body of evidence for the ChemoFx test, an in vitro patient tumor-derived cell culture assay that determines how cancer cells may respond to chemotherapeutic agents in order to help guide physicians' treatment decisions in patients with gynecologic cancer. The evaluated studies provided very-low-quality clinical validity evidence that showed weak associations between the results of the ChemoFx assay and patient survival. These studies also lacked a strong study design and execution, which further reduced confidence that the ChemoFx test could accurately assist with treatment selection. Hayes ultimately assigned a "D2" rating (insufficient evidence) for the use of the ChemoFx test.

Nonrandomized Studies

A 2015 literature review by Richard and colleagues on the use of the ChemoFX assay to identify effective treatment for epithelial ovarian cancer suggest that the assay is an effective option for improving patient outcomes.² However, authors indicated that the evidence remains limited by the lack of comparison between multiple therapies and other factors such as the possibility of toxicity that were not addressed.

In 2013, Rutherford et al. published a prospective study evaluating the clinical relevance of a chemoresponse assay in the treatment of persistent or recurrent ovarian cancer.³ A total of 262 patients were treated with one of fifteen treatments prescribed by the oncologist. Chemoresponse assays were performed and classified treatment as sensitive (S), intermediate (I), or resistant I. Results indicate that patients treated with an assay-sensitive treatment had an improved clinical outcome (mean overall survival was increased by 14 months; 37.5 months for S vs. 23.9 months for I+R, $p=0.010$); however, this study did not evaluate how assay results were used to alter treatment decisions or improve progression-free or overall survival. Although this and other studies may establish the clinical validity of chemoresponse assays by demonstrating a correlation of response to test results, current evidence does not show how assay-guided therapy may be used to alter outcomes.

Additional studies have been identified which are limited by a non-randomized design, small sample size and short-term follow-up.^{4,5}

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

The NCCN clinical practice guidelines for ovarian cancer (including fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal cancer) (v.1.2024) principles of systemic therapy have a general information bullet that stated:⁶

“Chemosensitivity/resistance and/or other biomarker assays are being used at some NCCN Member Institutions for decisions related to future chemotherapy in situations where there are multiple equivalent chemotherapy options available. The current level of evidence is not sufficient to supplant standard-of-care chemotherapy (category 3).”

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

No other NCCN guidelines were identified that referenced chemosensitivity/chemoresistance assays.

American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)

The 2011 ASCO clinical practice guideline update on the use of chemotherapy sensitivity and resistance assays recommends that the assays be used only in the clinical trial setting.⁷

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

The current evidence is insufficient to support the use of chemoresistance or chemosensitivity assays for patients with or without cancer. There is a lack of studies that demonstrate that these assays positively impact patient care and treatment outcomes. In addition, no evidence-based clinical practice guidelines were identified which support the use of these assays for any population.

HEALTH EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines health equity as the state in which everyone has a fair and just opportunity to attain their highest level of health. Achieving health equity requires addressing health disparities and social determinants of health. A health disparity is the occurrence of diseases at greater levels among certain population groups more than among others. Health disparities are linked to social determinants of health which are non-medical factors that influence health outcomes such as the conditions in which people are born, grow, work, live, age, and the wider set of forces and systems shaping the conditions of daily life. Social determinants of health include unequal access to health care, lack of education, poverty, stigma, and racism.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health calls out unique areas where health disparities are noted based on race and ethnicity. Providence Health Plan (PHP) regularly reviews these areas of opportunity to see if any changes can be made to our medical or pharmacy policies to support our members obtaining their highest level of health. Upon review, PHP creates a Coverage Recommendation (CORE) form detailing which groups are impacted by the disparity, the research surrounding the disparity, and recommendations from professional organizations. PHP Health Equity COREs are updated regularly and can be found online [here](#).

BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING

CODES*		
CPT	0083U	Oncology, response to chemotherapy drugs using motility contrast tomography, fresh or frozen tissue, reported as likelihood of sensitivity or resistance to drugs or drug combinations
	0248U	Oncology, spheroid cell culture in 3D microenvironment, 12-drug panel, brain- or brain metastasis–response prediction for each drug
	0435U	Oncology, chemotherapeutic drug cytotoxicity assay of cancer stem cells (CSCs), from cultured CSCs and primary tumor cells, categorical drug response reported based on cytotoxicity percentage observed, minimum of 14 drugs or drug combinations
	0564T	TERMED 12/31/2024 Oncology, chemotherapeutic drug cytotoxicity assay of cancer stem cells (CSCs), from cultured CSCs and primary tumor cells, categorical drug response reported based on percent of cytotoxicity observed, a minimum of 14 drugs or drug combinations
	81535	Oncology (gynecologic), live tumor cell culture and chemotherapeutic response by DAPI stain and morphology, predictive algorithm reported as a drug response score; first single drug or drug combination

	81536	Oncology (gynecologic), live tumor cell culture and chemotherapeutic response by DAPI stain and morphology, predictive algorithm reported as a drug response score; each additional single drug or drug combination (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
	86849	Tissue Typing Immunological Procedures
	87999	Unlisted microbiology procedure
	88299	Unlisted cytogenetic study
	89240	Unlisted miscellaneous pathology test
HCPCS	None	

***Coding Notes:**

- The above code list is provided as a courtesy and may not be all-inclusive. Inclusion or omission of a code from this policy neither implies nor guarantees reimbursement or coverage. Some codes may not require routine review for medical necessity, but they are subject to provider contracts, as well as member benefits, eligibility and potential utilization audit.
- All unlisted codes are reviewed for medical necessity, correct coding, and pricing at the claim level. If an unlisted code is submitted for non-covered services addressed in this policy then it will be **denied as not covered**. If an unlisted code is submitted for potentially covered services addressed in this policy, to avoid post-service denial, **prior authorization is recommended**.
- **See the non-covered and prior authorization lists on the Company [Medical Policy, Reimbursement Policy, Pharmacy Policy and Provider Information website](#) for additional information.**
- HCPCS/CPT code(s) may be subject to National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) procedure-to-procedure (PTP) bundling edits and daily maximum edits known as “medically unlikely edits” (MUEs) published by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). This policy does not take precedence over NCCI edits or MUEs. Please refer to the CMS website for coding guidelines and applicable code combinations.

REFERENCES

1. Hayes Inc. ChemoFx Assay (Helomics). <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/gte.chemofx1700>. Published 2023. Accessed 4/12/2025.
2. Richard S, Wells A, Connor J, Price F. Use of ChemoFx(R) for Identification of Effective Treatments in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *PLoS Curr.* 2015;7.
3. Rutherford T, Orr J, Jr., Grendys E, Jr., et al. A prospective study evaluating the clinical relevance of a chemoresponse assay for treatment of patients with persistent or recurrent ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2013;131(2):362-367.
4. Howard CM, Valluri J, Alberico A, et al. Analysis of Chemopredictive Assay for Targeting Cancer Stem Cells in Glioblastoma Patients. *Transl Oncol.* 2017;10(2):241-254.
5. Jamal BT, Grillone GA, Jalisi S. Chemoresponse Assay in Head and Neck Cancer Patients: A Three-Year Follow Up. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017;11(5):XC01-XC03.
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Ovarian Cancer. Including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer. Version 1.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Published 2025. Accessed 4/12/2025.
7. Burstein HJ, Mangu PB, Somerfield MR, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update on the use of chemotherapy sensitivity and resistance assays. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(24):3328-3330.

POLICY REVISION HISTORY

DATE	REVISION SUMMARY
2/2023	Converted to new policy template.
4/2023	Two codes marked as termed – 0324U and 0325U.
7/2023	Annual Review. Updated non-coverage statement from investigational to not medically necessary.
1/2024	Q1 2024 code set update. Added code 0435U
6/2024	Annual update. No changes to coding or criteria.
10/2024	Q4 2024 code set update. Revised code.
1/2025	Q1 2025 code set update.
6/2025	Annual update. No changes to coding or criteria.