


MEDICAL POLICY	Eye: Automated Evacuation of Meibomian Glands
Effective Date: 12/1/2021	Medical Policy Number: 30
 12/1/2021	Technology Assessment Committee Approved Date: 9/12; 10/13; 9/14; 9/15; 5/16 Medical Policy Committee Approved Date: 7/17; 1/18; 2/18; 8/19; 11/19; 7/2020; 12/2020; 11/2021
Medical Officer	Date

See Policy CPT CODE section below for any prior authorization requirements

SCOPE:

Providence Health Plan, Providence Health Assurance, Providence Plan Partners, and Ayin Health Solutions as applicable (referred to individually as “Company” and collectively as “Companies”).

APPLIES TO:

All lines of business

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Medicaid Members

Oregon: Services requested for Oregon Health Plan (OHP) members follow the OHP Prioritized List and Oregon Administrative Rules (OARs) as the primary resource for coverage determinations. Medical policy criteria below may be applied when there are no criteria available in the OARs and the OHP Prioritized List.

POLICY CRITERIA

- I. The automated evacuation of meibomian glands using heat and intermittent pressure (i.e., Lipiflow® Thermal Pulsation System) is considered **investigational and is not covered** as a treatment of any condition, including, but not limited to, meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye disease.

Link to [Policy Summary](#)

BILLING GUIDELINES

If CPT code 0330T is billed in conjunction with 0207T then it will deny as investigational for all lines of business.

MEDICAL POLICY	Eye: Automated Evacuation of Meibomian Glands
-----------------------	--

CPT CODES

All Lines of Business	
Not Covered	
0207T	Evacuation of meibomian glands, automated, using heat and intermittent pressure, unilateral
0330T	Tear film imaging, unilateral or bilateral, with interpretation and report
0563T	Evacuation of meibomian glands, using heat delivered through wearable, open-eye eyelid treatment devices and manual gland expression, bilateral

DESCRIPTION

Meibomian Gland Dysfunction (MGD)

Meibomian glands are tiny glands which line the edges of the eyelids and secrete oil that coats the surface of the eye to keep them from drying out.¹ MGD occurs when these glands are not secreting enough oil, often due to the gland becoming plugged, or when the oil secreted is of poor quality. “In the early stages, patients are often asymptomatic, but if left untreated, MGD can cause or exacerbate dry eye symptoms and eyelid inflammation.”¹ Chronically clogged meibomian glands can lead to permanent changes in the tear film and dry eyes. Treatment includes eyelid hygiene practices (cleaning off the dead skin, oil, and bacteria build up), warm compress, massage, and omega-3 fatty acid supplements.

Automated Evacuation of Meibomian Glands

The automated evacuation of meibomian glands (i.e., LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System) is an, “in-office procedure for patients with chronic cystic conditions of the eyelids to provide controlled heat to the inner eyelid surface, close to the location of the meibomian glands, and intermittent pressure to the outer eyelid to facilitate release of lipid from the cystic meibomian glands.”² The device includes a sterile, single-use eyepiece that is inserted around the patient’s eyelids. The eyepiece is attached to a physician-controlled console, which adjusts the heat and pressure delivered to the eyelid.

REVIEW OF EVIDENCE

A review of the ECRI, Hayes, Cochrane, and PubMed databases was conducted regarding the automated evacuation of meibomian glands (i.e., LipiFlow Thermal Pulsation System) as a treatment for meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye disease. Below is a summary of the available evidence identified through September 2021.

Systematic Reviews

- In 2021, Hu and colleagues conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the safety and efficacy of a vectored thermal pulsation system (Lipiflow®) in the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD).³ Systematically searching the literature through December 2020, investigators

identified 10 RCTs (n=761). In the comparison of Lipiflow[®] treatment and lid hygiene, the subgroup with inconsistent units of randomization and analysis showed that the Lipiflow[®] treatment brought slight improvement in corneal fluorescein staining (mean difference (MD), - 0.42; 95% CI, - 0.75 to - 0.1), significant improvements in ocular surface disease index (OSDI) score (MD, - 7.4; 95% CI, - 11.06 to - 3.74), Standard Patient Evaluation of Eye Dryness (SPEED) score (MD, - 2.7; 95% CI, - 3.95 to - 1.45), meibomian glands yielding liquid secretion (MGYLS) (MD, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.82), and meibomian glands yielding secretion score (MGYSS) (MD, 4.09; 95% CI, 1.18 to 6.99). Meanwhile, significant improvements were detected in OSDI score, SPEED score, MGYLS, and MGYSS with patients who received Lipiflow[®] treatment compared with those who received nontreatment. The adverse events were comparable in the two control groups. Investigators concluded that while Lipiflow[®] treatment can improve the subjective and objective outcomes of MGD and does not increase the incidence of adverse events, additional well-designed, large-scale RCTs are required to establish the treatment's long-term efficacy.

- In 2019, Hayes conducted a comparative effectiveness review assessing the safety and efficacy of thermal pulsation for the treatment of chronic dry eye syndrome and meibomian gland dysfunction.⁴ In total, 9 studies, including 6 RCTs and 3 prospective comparative cohort studies evaluating thermal pulsation therapy were included for review. Sample sizes across studies ranged from 25 to 200 patients, with follow-up recorded between 2 weeks and 3 months. Across studies, thermal pulsation therapy was similar to or better than standard warm compresses self-administered at home for improving symptoms. No studies indicated whether statistically significant improvements represented clinically meaningful differences. Limitations included small treatment groups, partial or no blinding, incomplete reporting of demographics, significant differences between treatment groups at baseline, assessment of only 1 outcome (1 study), loss to follow-up, and relatively short follow-up. Evidence was also insufficient to establish definitive patient selection criteria for thermal pulsation therapy. Hayes concluded that evidence, though large in size, was low in quality due to the limited evidence for the comparative effectiveness of thermal pulsation therapy relative to active comparators other than warm compress therapy (e.g., oral or topical therapies), and uncertainty regarding the durability of treatment benefit for thermal pulsation therapy. Hayes ultimately assigned a "C" rating (potential but unproven benefit.)
- In 2019, Pang and colleagues conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the safety and efficacy of vectored thermal pulsation (VTP) and warm compress treatments (WCT) in meibomian gland dysfunction.⁵ Independent investigators systematically searched the literature through July 2018, identified eligible studies, assessed study quality, extracted data and pooled results. In total, 4 trials assessing 385 patients were included for review. The primary outcome was meibomian gland function determined using the meibomian gland evaluator or other methods. The secondary outcomes were the tear breakup time (TBUT) in seconds, Schirmer test, tear osmolarity, lipid layer thickness (LLT), Standard Patient Evaluation for Eye Dryness (SPEED), and the improvement of subjective symptoms as assessed using the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI). Significantly greater improvement was observed in meibomian gland function, tear breakup time, and Standard Patient Evaluation for Eye Dryness at 2 to 4 weeks in the VTP group than in the WCT group. A significantly greater decrease in Ocular Surface Disease Index was observed at 2 to 4 weeks and 3 months in the VTPT group than in the WCT group. No statistically significant differences were seen for VTP versus warm compress treatment. Investigators concluded that a single 12-minute VTPT was more efficacious than traditional WCT in treating meibomian gland dysfunction.

Limitations included the lack of long-term follow-up, multiple control groups and a heterogeneous patient group.

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT)

- In 2016, Blackie et al. conducted a prospective, multicenter, open-label RCT to evaluate the sustained effect (12 months) of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation procedure for meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye.⁶ A total of 200 patients (400 eyes) were randomized to receive a single vectored thermal pulsation (VTP) treatment or twice-daily, 3-month, conventional warm compress (control group). At 3 months, the control patients were crossed over to VTP treatment (crossover group). The outcomes of effectiveness, meibomian gland secretion (MGS), and dry eye symptoms were evaluated at baseline, 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months.

The treatment group had greater mean improvement in MGS and dry eye symptoms compared to the control group at 3 months. At 12 months, 86% of the treatment group had received only one VTP treatment, and sustained a mean improvement in MGS from 6.4 ± 3.7 (baseline) to 17.3 ± 9.1 ($P < 0.0001$) and dry eye symptoms from 44.1 ± 20.4 to 21.6 ± 21.3 ($P < 0.0001$); 89% of the crossover group had received only one VTP treatment with sustained mean improvement in MGS from 6.3 ± 3.6 to 18.4 ± 11.1 ($P < 0.0001$) and dry eye symptoms from 49.1 ± 21.0 to 24.0 ± 23.2 ($P < 0.0001$).⁶ A statistically significant association between greater mean improvement in MGS and less severe baseline MGS and shorter duration of time between diagnosis and treatment was also identified.

Strengths of this study include the randomized controlled design, multicenter recruitment, and use of intention to treat analysis. The authors concluded that a single treatment with VTC delivers sustained improvement in meibomian gland function and dry eye symptoms over 12 months. However, the following methodological inadequacies limit the validity of this conclusion:

- Allowing patients to cross over from the control to treatment group (i.e., carryover effect)
 - Short follow-up duration (3 months of randomized controlled design and 9 months crossover design)
 - Lack of blinding
 - Subjective primary outcome measures
 - Significant conflict of interests due to the first and second authors being employees of the device manufacturer (TearScience, Inc.)
- In 2014, Finis and colleagues conducted a prospective, randomized, observer-masked trial to compare the effectiveness of a single LipiFlow[®] treatment with combined lid warming and massage in patients with meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD).⁷ A total of 40 patients were randomized to receive a single LipiFlow treatment or 3 months of twice-daily lid warming and massage (control group). The primary outcomes of interest, including improvement of subjective symptoms as assessed by the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) scores, were evaluated at 1 and 3 months.

A total of 31 patients completed the 3 month follow-up. At both 1 and 3 months follow-up, patients in the treatment group had a significant reduction in OSDI scores compared to the control group. Both groups showed a statistically significant improvement in expressible meibomian glands when

compared to baseline, but this was not significant between the treatment and control groups. No other outcome measures showed a significant difference from baseline or between groups.

Strengths of this study include the randomized controlled design and use of intention to treat analysis. However, significant methodological limitations are present due to the small sample size, short follow-up period, lack of patient blinding, and high attrition. The authors concluded that LipiFlow® is at least as effective as 3-month, twice-daily lid warming and massage but, “the present study was observer-masked only, and therefore a placebo effect may have confounded any improvements in subjective symptoms and other parameters in both groups.”⁷

Nonrandomized Studies

The evidence review also identified several nonrandomized studies evaluating automated evacuation of meibomian glands for the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction and dry eye disease.⁸⁻¹⁵ Although these studies showed favorable results for the use of automated evacuation of meibomian glands, all are nonrandomized, uncontrolled studies, with a small sample size (n<50) and short follow-up period (0 months to 3 years). These significant methodological limitations do not permit meaningful conclusions regarding the long-term efficacy and safety of automated meibomian gland evacuation.

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

No clinical practice guidelines were identified which addressed the automated evacuation of meibomian glands (i.e., LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System) for the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction or dry eye disease.

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID

As of 10/7/2021, no specific Medicare coverage policy or guidance (e.g., manual, national coverage determination [NCD], local coverage determination [LCD] article [LCA], etc.) was identified which addresses automated evacuation of meibomian glands (i.e., LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System) for the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction or dry eye disease. In the absence of a NCD, LCD, or other Medicare policy, Medicare guidelines allow a Medicare Advantage Organization (MAO) to make coverage determinations, applying an objective, evidence-based process, based on authoritative evidence. (*Medicare IOM Pub. No. 100-16, Ch. 4, §90.5*)

Therefore, this Company coverage review will be applied for medical necessity decision-making. Under Medicare, only medically reasonable and necessary services are covered (*Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, §1862(a)(1)(A)*). Procedures, devices, or other medical technologies which lack scientific evidence regarding safety and efficacy because they are investigational or experimental are considered “**not medically reasonable or necessary**” to treat illness or injury under Medicare. (*Medicare IOM Pub. No. 100-04, Ch. 23, §30 A*)

POLICY SUMMARY

There is insufficient evidence to support the clinical utility or safety of automated evacuation of meibomian glands (i.e., LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System) for the treatment of dry eye disease or meibomian gland dysfunction. Further, high-quality studies are required to establish the safety and effectiveness of this treatment, as well as its superiority to the standard of care (e.g., warm compress). In addition, no clinical practice guidelines were identified which address the automated evacuation of meibomian glands.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

Company Medical Policies serve as guidance for the administration of plan benefits. Medical policies do not constitute medical advice nor a guarantee of coverage. Company Medical Policies are reviewed annually and are based upon published, peer-reviewed scientific evidence and evidence-based clinical practice guidelines that are available as of the last policy update. The Companies reserve the right to determine the application of Medical Policies and make revisions to Medical Policies at any time. Providers will be given at least 60-days' notice of policy changes that are restrictive in nature.

The scope and availability of all plan benefits are determined in accordance with the applicable coverage agreement. Any conflict or variance between the terms of the coverage agreement and Company Medical Policy will be resolved in favor of the coverage agreement.

REGULATORY STATUS

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

In 2011, the U.S. FDA approved the LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System under the 510(k) premarket notification process.²

Intended use:

The LipiFlow® Thermal Pulsation System is intended for the application of localized heat and pressure therapy in adult patients with chronic cystic conditions of the eyelids, including meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD), also known as evaporative dry eye or lipid deficiency dry eye.

Mental Health Parity Statement

Coverage decisions are made on the basis of individualized determinations of medical necessity and the experimental or investigational character of the treatment in the individual case. In cases where medical necessity is not established by policy for specific treatment modalities, evidence not previously considered regarding the efficacy of the modality that is presented shall be given consideration to determine if the policy represents current standards of care.

REFERENCES

1. American Association of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. Meibomian Gland Dysfunction and Treatment. <https://aapos.org/glossary/meibomian-gland-dysfunction-and-treatment>. Published 2020. Accessed 10/7/2021.
2. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. 510(k) Premarket Notification: Lipiflow Thermal Pulsation System (Product Code: ORZ). <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm>. Published 2011. Accessed 10/7/2021.
3. Hu J, Zhu S, Liu X. Efficacy and safety of a vectored thermal pulsation system (Lipiflow®) in the treatment of meibomian gland dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2021
4. Hayes Inc. Thermal Pulsation for Chronic Dry Eye Syndrome and Meibomian Gland Dysfunction. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.thermalpulsation4598>. Published 2019. Accessed 10/7/2021.
5. Pang SP, Chen YT, Tam KW, Lin IC, Loh EW. Efficacy of Vectored Thermal Pulsation and Warm Compress Treatments in Meibomian Gland Dysfunction: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Cornea*. 2019;38(6):690-697
6. Blackie CA, Coleman CA, Holland EJ. The sustained effect (12 months) of a single-dose vectored thermal pulsation procedure for meibomian gland dysfunction and evaporative dry eye. *Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ)*. 2016;10:1385-1396
7. Finis D, Hayajneh J, Konig C, Borrelli M, Schrader S, Geerling G. Evaluation of an automated thermodynamic treatment (LipiFlow(R)) system for meibomian gland dysfunction: a prospective, randomized, observer-masked trial. *The ocular surface*. 2014;12(2):146-154
8. Epitropoulos AT, Goslin K, Bedi R, Blackie CA. Meibomian gland dysfunction patients with novel Sjogren's syndrome biomarkers benefit significantly from a single vectored thermal pulsation procedure: a retrospective analysis. *Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ)*. 2017;11:701-706
9. Finis D, Konig C, Hayajneh J, Borrelli M, Schrader S, Geerling G. Six-month effects of a thermodynamic treatment for MGD and implications of meibomian gland atrophy. *Cornea*. 2014;33(12):1265-1270
10. Greiner JV. Long-term (12-month) improvement in meibomian gland function and reduced dry eye symptoms with a single thermal pulsation treatment. *Clinical & experimental ophthalmology*. 2013;41(6):524-530
11. Greiner JV. Long-Term (3 Year) Effects of a Single Thermal Pulsation System Treatment on Meibomian Gland Function and Dry Eye Symptoms. *Eye & contact lens*. 2016;42(2):99-107
12. Korb DR, Blackie CA. Case report: a successful LipiFlow treatment of a single case of meibomian gland dysfunction and dropout. *Eye & contact lens*. 2013;39(3):e1-3
13. Satjawatcharaphong P, Ge S, Lin MC. Clinical Outcomes Associated with Thermal Pulsation System Treatment. *Optometry and vision science : official publication of the American Academy of Optometry*. 2015;92(9):e334-341
14. Schallhorn CS, Schallhorn JM, Hannan S, Schallhorn SC. Effectiveness of an Eyelid Thermal Pulsation Procedure to Treat Recalcitrant Dry Eye Symptoms After Laser Vision Correction. *Journal of refractive surgery (Thorofare, NJ : 1995)*. 2017;33(1):30-36
15. Zhao Y, Veerappan A, Yeo S, et al. Clinical Trial of Thermal Pulsation (LipiFlow) in Meibomian Gland Dysfunction With Pretreatment Meibography. *Eye & contact lens*. 2016;42(6):339-346