
Breast Cancer: Microwave Thermotherapy

MEDICAL POLICY NUMBER: 68

Effective Date: 4/1/2022	COVERAGE CRITERIA	2
Last Review Date: 3/2022	POLICY CROSS REFERENCES.....	2
Next Annual Review: 3/2023	POLICY GUIDELINES.....	2
	REGULATORY STATUS.....	3
	CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	MEDICARE ADVANTAGE	7
	BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING	7
	REFERENCES.....	8
	POLICY REVISION HISTORY.....	9

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE: Company Medical Policies serve as guidance for the administration of plan benefits. Medical policies do not constitute medical advice nor a guarantee of coverage. Company Medical Policies are reviewed annually and are based upon published, peer-reviewed scientific evidence and evidence-based clinical practice guidelines that are available as of the last policy update. The Company reserves the right to determine the application of medical policies and make revisions to medical policies at any time. The scope and availability of all plan benefits are determined in accordance with the applicable coverage agreement. Any conflict or variance between the terms of the coverage agreement and Company Medical Policy will be resolved in favor of the coverage agreement. Coverage decisions are made on the basis of individualized determinations of medical necessity and the experimental or investigational character of the treatment in the individual case. In cases where medical necessity is not established by policy for specific treatment modalities, evidence not previously considered regarding the efficacy of the modality that is presented shall be given consideration to determine if the policy represents current standards of care.

SCOPE: Providence Health Plan, Providence Health Assurance, Providence Plan Partners, and Ayin Health Solutions as applicable (referred to individually as “Company” and collectively as “Companies”).

PLAN PRODUCT AND BENEFIT APPLICATION

Commercial

Medicaid/OHP*

Medicare**

*Medicaid/OHP Members

Oregon: Services requested for Oregon Health Plan (OHP) members follow the OHP Prioritized List and Oregon Administrative Rules (OARs) as the primary resource for coverage determinations. Medical policy criteria below may be applied when there are no criteria available in the OARs and the OHP Prioritized List.

**Medicare Members

Note that investigational services are considered “**not medically necessary**” for Medicare members.

COVERAGE CRITERIA

- I. Microwave thermotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer is considered **not medically necessary and is not covered.**

Link to [Evidence Summary](#)

POLICY CROSS REFERENCES

None

The full Company portfolio of current Medical Policies is available online and can be [accessed here](#).

POLICY GUIDELINES

BACKGROUND

Microwave Thermotherapy

Microwave thermotherapy is a form of electromagnetic radiation that can be used to destroy tumor tissue through the application of heat. Microwave thermotherapy may also be referred to as microwave ablation, microwave coagulation or percutaneous microwave ablation/coagulation. This technique has been proposed as a minimally invasive technique to treatment several tumors including hepatic tumors, various gynecological cancers and breast cancer. Tumors in the breast are thought to be potential candidates for microwave thermotherapy due to higher water content of the tumor relative to the surrounding fatty tissue, allowing for preferential heating of the tumor and minimal damage to healthy tissue.

Adaptive Phased Array Microwave Technology

A novel microwave thermotherapy technology known as “Adaptive Phased Array” (APA), currently marketed by Medifocus Inc. (previously by Celsion) as the APA 1000, is intended to destroy breast tumors. According to Medifocus, “this technology permits properly designed microwave devices to focus and concentrate energy targeted at diseased tissue areas deep within the body and to heat them selectively, without adverse impact on surrounding healthy tissue.”¹ The APA 1000 breast cancer treatment system is intended to destroy localized breast tumors through the application of heat alone or in combination with chemotherapy.

According to Medifocus, to use the focused microwave technology, “a minimally invasive disposable catheter sensor is inserted into the breast under ultrasound guidance to provide feedback signals for microwave focusing and temperature measurement. The breast is then immobilized by compression, which also serves to reduce blood-flow and increase the efficiency of heat delivery for effective treatment, and microwave energy is applied to the breast via two parallel-opposed microwave applicators. A proprietary feedback, tracking, and control mechanism ensures that the microwave energy is focused on the center of the tumor, while a computer algorithm controls the amount of energy applied to the tumor, and monitors the temperature to ensure optimum effectiveness.”¹

The first indication of use of the APA 1000 focused microwave thermotherapy breast cancer treatment system is to treat locally advanced breast cancer (LABC), which involves tumors that are generally treated first with chemotherapy prior to surgical resection. According to Medifocus, the APA technology, “can significantly improve the efficacy of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in shrinking large breast cancer tumors [3-8cm diameter], improving the chance of breast conservation, and decreasing the need for radical breast surgery.”¹

Medifocus intends to expand the indication of use for its APA breast cancer treatment system to include the treatment for medium size breast tumors (1-3 cm), ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and benign breast tumors.

Locally Advanced Breast Cancer (LABC)

The current standard of care treatment for LABC is to use preoperative systemic therapy (chemotherapy and/or endocrine therapy, and in some cases radiation therapy) to induce tumor shrinkage to allow for inoperable tumors to become operable or for breast conservation surgery if the preoperative therapy results in clear surgical margins.² Microwave thermotherapy has the potential to be considered as a preoperative treatment option for LABC, to be used as an adjunct to current preoperative therapies or on its own. In addition, microwave thermotherapy has been proposed as a potential stand-alone treatment to avoid breast surgery.

REGULATORY STATUS

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)

Approval or clearance by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not in itself establish medical necessity or serve as a basis for coverage. Therefore, this section is provided for informational purposes only.

WOMEN'S HEALTH AND CANCER RIGHTS ACT (WHCRA) OF 1998 STATEMENT

The Women's Health and Cancer Rights Act (WHCRA) of 1998 provides protections to individuals who have opted to undergo breast reconstruction in connection with a mastectomy. Under the WHCRA, coverage is provided for all stages of breast reconstruction for both the affected breast (the breast undergoing the mastectomy procedure) and the contralateral breast (for symmetry) and breast prostheses, as well as treatment of complications caused by the mastectomy, such as lymphedema. While the criteria in this policy are primarily based on Medicare guidance, in accordance with the WHCRA, Company coverage may exceed Medicare coverage for items or services required to treat conditions that are the direct result of a mastectomy.

CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW

EVIDENCE REVIEW

To determine the safety and efficacy of microwave thermotherapy as a treatment for locally advanced breast cancer (LABC), or other breast tumors, ideally studies comparing microwave thermotherapy to standard treatments in the form of randomized controlled trials are required.

Systematic Reviews

In 2010, Zhao and Wu published the results of a systematic review of minimally invasive thermal ablation techniques for early-stage breast cancer, including microwave ablation.³ The reviewers stated that all three studies included were nonrandomized feasibility studies that were published by the same group of investigators and were conducted in research settings for the assessment of technical safety and feasibility.⁴⁻⁶ The key studies from this group are described below. The reviewers reported that complete tumor ablation could be achieved in 0-8% of patients using microwave ablation. They concluded that large randomized control studies were required to assess the long-term advantages of minimally-invasive thermal ablation techniques such as microwave thermotherapy compared to the current breast conserving therapies.

In 2016, Peek et al. published the results of a systematic review of minimally invasive techniques in the treatment of breast cancer, including six studies (N=144 patients) on microwave ablation.⁷ Although ultrasound guidance was reported in three studies, in the remaining three included studies the imaging modality was not known. In adjusted analyses microwave ablation achieved the second highest rate of complete ablation ($83.2 \pm 11.6\%$, 89 /107 patients), behind radiofrequency ablation (87.1%, 491/564 patients). No local recurrences were reported with microwave ablation, (0/144). However, microwave ablation resulted in the most complications (14.6%, 21/144). Limitations of the included studies for MWA included lack of blinding in RCTs, lack of follow up and no information on withdrawal rate. The reviewers concluded that while minimally invasive techniques are promising, that adequately powered and prospectively conducted cohort trials are required.

In 2017, Mauri et al. published the results of a systematic review that assessed the technical success, technique efficacy and complications of five minimally-invasive imaging-guided percutaneous ablation procedures of breast cancer, including microwave ablation.⁸ Three studies (n= 78 lesions) (7%) were included in the review which addressed microwave ablation.^{6,9,10} The pooled technical success of microwave ablation was reported as 93% (range 81-98%). Pooled technique efficacy was not reported for microwave ablation, since only one of the three studies had reported this outcome, which was 90%. The rate of major complications for microwave ablation was lower than other minimally invasive techniques (4%, 95% CI 1–17%), compared to 10% for high-intensity focused ultrasound and 6% for radiofrequency ablation. The reviewers concluded that although imaging-guided ablation techniques for breast cancer have a high rate of technical success and a low complication rate, efficacy remains suboptimal.

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)

In 2007, Vargas et al. published the results of a small, prospective, multicenter, un-blinded, RCT that investigated whether focused microwave thermotherapy in combination with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy (thermochemotherapy) could increase local tumor response in patients with invasive (T2, T3) breast cancer when compared with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy alone.¹¹ Fifteen patients were randomized to receive thermochemotherapy and thirteen patients were assigned to a control group that received chemotherapy alone. Although it was unclear what the follow-up time was, the investigators reported a weakly significant reduction in tumor volume in the thermochemotherapy group compared with the control group (88% vs. 59%, p=0.048). However, there was no significant difference between treatment groups in terms of tumor necrosis by volume. In addition, the investigators indicated that there was a lack of clinical efficacy of the experimental treatment, since the percentage of women in each group eligible for breast conserving surgery (BCS) due to treatment was similar between treatments. The impact of microwave thermotherapy on primary health outcomes such as survival was not reported in this study.

In 2008, Dooley et al. published the results of a multi-center RCT that assessed whether preoperative focused microwave thermotherapy (FMT) killed breast carcinomas prior to surgery and reduced the incidence of positive margins compared to no treatment prior to surgery, including 75 patients with early stage breast cancer receiving BCS.¹² Thirty four patients were randomized to an experimental group who received thermotherapy prior to surgery while 41 patients were assigned to the control group who received surgery only. Post-treatment there was a nonsignificant difference in positive margin reduction between groups (0 of 34 [0%] patients in the thermotherapy arm had positive margins versus 4 of 41 [9.8%] in the surgery-alone; p=0.13).

Nonrandomized Studies

In 2010, Dooley et al. published a review that summarized the results of four clinical studies performed by their group, including the two RCTs described above as well as two nonrandomized studies that evaluated FMT for preoperative treatment of invasive breast cancer.^{4,6,11-13} All clinical studies were published between 2002 and 2007, and the RCTs are described in detail above. In the initial phase I study, eight of 10 (80%) participants receiving one low dose of focused microwave thermotherapy prior to mastectomy had a partial tumor response after 5-18 days post-treatment and significant reduction in tumor size in six out of 10 (60%) of patients.⁴ In the phase II study, the focused microwave thermotherapy dose was increased to stimulate 100% pathologic tumor cell kill for invasive carcinoma prior to BCS.⁶ In this study, tumor size was unchanged after thermotherapy but pathologic necrosis was

achieved in 17 (68%) patients, with complete necrosis achieved in two patients. Adverse events reported in these clinical studies include severe pain, erythema, edema, second- and third-degree burns, skin blistering, flap necrosis and nipple retraction. The authors concluded that the clinical studies performed by their group suggested FMT elicited both a reduction in positive tumor margins as a heat-alone treatment for early-stage breast cancer and a reduction in tumor volume when used in combination with anthracycline-based chemotherapy for patients with large breast cancer tumors, but larger RCTs were required to verify these conclusions.

In 2012, Zhou et al. published the results a case series of 41 patients with small breast cancer treated with microwave thermotherapy, which they referred to as “percutaneous microwave coagulation (PMC)”.⁹ The group reported that 37 of 41 cases (90%; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 76.9% - 97.3%) showed complete tumor coagulation, as observed by using α -NADH-diaphorase staining. Of 38 cases diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma, 36 cases (95%; 95% CI: 82.3%, 99.4%) showed complete tumor coagulation. Adverse events reported included thermal injuries to the skin and pectoralis major muscle in three cases. The authors concluded that although the treatment was feasible, larger-scale clinical trials were needed to validate PMC for adoption into a standard clinical practice.

In 2014, Zhou et al. reported on a second smaller pilot study to evaluate the effects of microwave ablation (MWA) by assessing the ablation zone by magnetic resonance (MR) imaging as well as pathological changes after MWA in twelve women with non-operable LABC.¹⁰ All women were treated by MWA and then neoadjuvant chemotherapy, followed by surgery. At 24-hours post-ablation the ablation zones had a mean diameter between 2.23- 2.98 cm, and the ablated area became gradually smaller in MR imaging. No adverse effects related to MWA were noted in all 12 patients during and after MWA. Pathological evaluation confirmed the effect about three months after MWA. However, the investigators noted that long-term effect of MWA in the treatment of small breast cancer is needed.

Summary

The studies conducted on microwave thermotherapy for the treatment of breast cancer, the vast majority of which have been published by the same team of investigators, have been small in sample size and have used various treatment protocols to determine the most effective temperature and length of treatment sessions that are needed for tumoricidal effects to be obtained. Total ablation of all tumor cells has yet to be achieved and numerous adverse events have been reported with microwave thermotherapy. In addition, it is unknown what long-term effect microwave thermotherapy will have on breast tissue conservation and effective cancer ablation. None of the studies identified reported on survival outcomes.

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

No clinical practice guidelines, including those published by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) address microwave thermotherapy as a treatment for breast cancer.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

There is insufficient evidence regarding the safety and efficacy of focused microwave thermotherapy as a treatment for breast tumors. The limited number of studies comparing microwave thermotherapy to

current standard of care preoperative treatments, prior to breast surgery, are small in sample size and are mainly published by one group of investigators. Additional independent randomized controlled studies are needed to evaluate the long-term safety and effectiveness of this treatment, whether used as an adjunct to other therapies or as a stand-alone treatment prior to surgical resection and breast conserving surgery. In addition, there is a lack of support from clinical practice guidelines for microwave thermotherapy to treat breast tumors.

MEDICARE ADVANTAGE

Note: The Company policy for *PHA Medicare Medical Policy Development and Application* (MP50) provides details regarding Medicare’s definition of medical necessity and the hierarchy of Medicare references and resources during the development of medical policies, as well as the Plan’s use of evidence-based processes for policy development.

As of 2/11/2022, no specific Medicare coverage policy or guidance (e.g., manual, national coverage determination [NCD microwave thermotherapy for breast tumors. In the absence of a NCD, LCD, or other Medicare policy, Medicare regulatory guidelines do allow Medicare Advantage Organizations (MAOs) to make their own coverage determinations, as long as the MAO applies an objective, evidence-based process, based on authoritative evidence. (*Medicare Managed Care Manual, Ch. 4, §90.5*) Thus, the Company medical policy criteria may be applied for medical necessity decision-making.

Following an evidence-based assessment of current peer-reviewed medical literature, the Company may consider certain medical services or technologies to be “investigational.” The term “investigational” is not limited to devices or technologies which have not received the appropriate governmental regulatory approval (e.g., U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA]), but rather may also mean the procedure, device, or technology does not meet all of the Company’s technology assessment criteria, as detailed within the Company policy for *Definition: Experimental/Investigational* (MP5). For Medicare, only medically reasonable and necessary services or items which treat illness or injury are eligible for Medicare coverage, as outlined in *Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, §1862(a)(1)(A)*. Thus, services which lack scientific evidence regarding safety and efficacy because they are investigational are “not medically reasonable or necessary” for Medicare Plan members. (*Medicare Claims Processing Manual, Ch. 23, §30 A*)

BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING

CODES*		
CPT	19499	Unlisted procedure, breast

***Coding Notes:**

- The above code list is provided as a courtesy and may not be all-inclusive. Inclusion or omission of a code from this policy neither implies nor guarantees reimbursement or coverage. Some codes may not require routine review for medical necessity, but they are subject to provider contracts, as well as member benefits, eligibility and potential utilization audit.

- All unlisted codes are reviewed for medical necessity, correct coding, and pricing at the claim level. If an unlisted code is submitted for non-covered services addressed in this policy then it will be **denied as not covered**. If an unlisted code is submitted for potentially covered services addressed in this policy, to avoid post-service denial, **prior authorization is recommended**.
- See the non-covered and prior authorization lists on the Company [Medical Policy, Reimbursement Policy, Pharmacy Policy and Provider Information website](#) for additional information.
- HCPCS/CPT code(s) may be subject to National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) procedure-to-procedure (PTP) bundling edits and daily maximum edits known as “medically unlikely edits” (MUEs) published by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). This policy does not take precedence over NCCI edits or MUEs. Please refer to the CMS website for coding guidelines and applicable code combinations.

REFERENCES

1. Medifocus Inc. company website. <https://medifocusinc.com/breast-cancer-treatment/>. Accessed 12/18/2020.
2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Breast Cancer. Version 6.2020. Updated 9/8/2020. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast_blocks.pdf. Published 2020. Accessed 12/18/2020.
3. Zhao Z, Wu F. Minimally-invasive thermal ablation of early-stage breast cancer: a systemic review. *European journal of surgical oncology : the journal of the European Society of Surgical Oncology and the British Association of Surgical Oncology*. 2010;36(12):1149-1155
4. Gardner RA, Vargas HI, Block JB, et al. Focused microwave phased array thermotherapy for primary breast cancer. *Annals of surgical oncology*. 2002;9(4):326-332. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11986183>.
5. Vargas HI, Dooley WC, Gardner RA, Gonzalez KD, Heywang-Kobrunner SH, Fenn AJ. Success of sentinel lymph node mapping after breast cancer ablation with focused microwave phased array thermotherapy. *American journal of surgery*. 2003;186(4):330-332
6. Vargas HI, Dooley WC, Gardner RA, et al. Focused microwave phased array thermotherapy for ablation of early-stage breast cancer: results of thermal dose escalation. *Annals of surgical oncology*. 2004;11(2):139-146. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14761916>.
7. Peek MC, Ahmed M, Napoli A, Usiskin S, Baker R, Douek M. Minimally invasive ablative techniques in the treatment of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of hyperthermia : the official journal of European Society for Hyperthermic Oncology, North American Hyperthermia Group*. 2016:1-12
8. Mauri G, Sconfienza LM, Pescatori LC, et al. Technical success, technique efficacy and complications of minimally-invasive imaging-guided percutaneous ablation procedures of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European radiology*. 2017;27(8):3199-3210
9. Zhou W, Zha X, Liu X, et al. US-guided percutaneous microwave coagulation of small breast cancers: a clinical study. *Radiology*. 2012;263(2):364-373. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22438362>.
10. Zhou W, Jiang Y, Chen L, et al. Image and pathological changes after microwave ablation of breast cancer: a pilot study. *European journal of radiology*. 2014;83(10):1771-1777
11. Vargas HI, Dooley WC, Fenn AJ, Tomaselli MB, Harness JK. Study of preoperative focused microwave phased array thermotherapy in combination with neoadjuvant anthracycline-based chemotherapy for large breast carcinomas. *Cancer Therapy*. 2007;5(2):401-408
12. Dooley WC, Vargas HI, Fenn AJ, Tomaselli MB. Randomized Study of Preoperative Focused Microwave Phased Array Thermotherapy for Early-Stage Invasive Breast Cancer. *Cancer Therapy*. 2008;6(2)

13. Dooley WC, Vargas HI, Fenn AJ, Tomaselli MB, Harness JK. Focused microwave thermotherapy for preoperative treatment of invasive breast cancer: a review of clinical studies. *Annals of surgical oncology*. 2010;17(4):1076-1093

POLICY REVISION HISTORY

DATE	REVISION SUMMARY
2/2023	Converted to new policy template.