

Skin and Tissue Substitutes

MEDICAL POLICY NUMBER: 16

Effective Date: 4/1/2026	COVERAGE CRITERIA	2
Last Review Date: 3/2026	POLICY CROSS REFERENCES.....	9
Next Annual Review: 1/2027	POLICY GUIDELINES.....	10
	REGULATORY STATUS.....	12
	CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW	16
	HEALTH EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS	26
	BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING	27
	REFERENCES.....	45
	POLICY REVISION HISTORY.....	51

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE: Company Medical Policies serve as guidance for the administration of plan benefits. Medical policies do not constitute medical advice nor a guarantee of coverage. Company Medical Policies are reviewed annually and are based upon published, peer-reviewed scientific evidence and evidence-based clinical practice guidelines that are available as of the last policy update. The Company reserves the right to determine the application of medical policies and make revisions to medical policies at any time. The scope and availability of all plan benefits are determined in accordance with the applicable coverage agreement. Any conflict or variance between the terms of the coverage agreement and Company Medical Policy will be resolved in favor of the coverage agreement. Coverage decisions are made on the basis of individualized determinations of medical necessity and the experimental or investigational character of the treatment in the individual case. In cases where medical necessity is not established by policy for specific treatment modalities, evidence not previously considered regarding the efficacy of the modality that is presented shall be given consideration to determine if the policy represents current standards of care.

SCOPE: Providence Health Plan, Providence Health Assurance, and Providence Plan Partners as applicable (referred to individually as “Company” and collectively as “Companies”).

PLAN PRODUCT AND BENEFIT APPLICATION

Commercial

Medicaid/OHP*

Medicare**

*Medicaid/OHP Members

Oregon: Services requested for Oregon Health Plan (OHP) members follow the OHP Prioritized List and Oregon Administrative Rules (OARs) as the primary resource for coverage determinations. Medical policy criteria below may be applied when there are no criteria available in the OARs and the OHP Prioritized List.

Skin and Tissue Substitutes: Guideline Note 163

**Medicare Members

This *Company* policy may be applied to Medicare Plan members only when directed by a separate *Medicare* policy. Note that investigational services are considered “**not medically necessary**” for Medicare members.

COVERAGE CRITERIA

Medically Necessary Skin and Tissue Substitutes by Indication

Note: This policy does not apply to the following products for vocal cord paralysis treatment, which may be considered medically necessary: Cymetra; Integra™ Flowable Wound Matrix.

- I. FDA approved allogenic Acellular Dermal Matrix (ADM) products may be considered **medically necessary** when used for a medically necessary breast reconstruction surgery (see [Breast Reconstructive Surgery, Reduction Mammoplasty, and Implant Management](#) medical policy).
 - A. This includes but is not limited to the following products: AlloDerm®; Cortiva®; Dermacell™; FlexHD®; FlexHD® Pliable™; GraftJacket®
- II. FDA approved biosynthetic dressing products may be considered **medically necessary** as a treatment of burn wounds when **both** of the following (A.-B.) criteria are met. This includes, but is not limited to Biobrane/Biobrane-L.
 - A. The skin substitute is used as a temporary covering of a partial-thickness burn (See description section for definition); **and**
 - B. Applied to freshly debrided or excised wounds, or meshed autografts containing less than 105 bacteria/g tissue
- III. FDA approved cultured epidermal autograft products may be considered **medically necessary** when used in accordance with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Humanitarian Device Exemption for adult and pediatric patients who have deep dermal or full thickness burns comprising a total body surface area greater than or equal to 30%. This includes but is not limited to Epicel.

- IV. FDA approved dermal regeneration and bilayer products may be considered **medically necessary** as a treatment of burn wounds when **both** of the following (A.-B.) criteria are met. This includes but is not limited to the following products: Integra® Dermal Regeneration; Integra® Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix; Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing; Integra® Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix.
- A. To be used for the post-excisional treatment of life-threatening full thickness or deep partial-thickness thermal injuries (See [description section](#) for definition); **and**
 - B. Sufficient autograft is not available at the time of excision or not desirable due to the physiological condition of the patient.
- V. FDA approved human fibroblast-derived temporary skin substitute may be considered **medically necessary** when used as a temporary wound covering for surgically excised full-thickness and deep partial-thickness thermal burn wounds in patients who require such a covering prior to autograft placement. This includes but is not limited to TransCyte®.

Diabetic Foot Ulcers

Products	Medical Necessity Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Apligraf® ● Allopatch ● AmnioBand Membrane ● Dermagraft® ● EpiFix® Amniotic Membrane ● Grafix® Core/Grafix® Prime/ Grafix® PL Prime/ Grafix® Plus ● GraftJacket® Regenerative Tissue Matrix ● Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template ● Integra™ Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix 	<p>VI. The products listed in the left column may be considered medically necessary for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers when all of the following (A.-H.) criteria are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. The skin substitute is used in conjunction with standard diabetic ulcer care; and B. The ulcer extends through the dermis <u>but without</u> tendon, muscle, joint, or bone exposure; and C. The ulcer is at least 1cm² but no more than 25cm²; and D. The ulcer is free of infection; and E. The patients A1c (HbA1C) level is less than 12%; and F. Failure of at least 4 weeks of standard diabetic foot ulcer therapy (e.g., surgical debridement, dressing changes); and G. The foot to be treated has adequate blood supply as defined by at least one of the following (1.-2.) criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ankle-brachial index (ABI) of ≥ 0.70; and/or 2. The presence of a palpable pedal pulse; and H. The skin substitute is limited to no more than 12 applications, at a minimum of 1 week between applications, over the course of 12 weeks. (Except GraftJacket® Regenerative Tissue Matrix [Q4107] which is limited to only 1 initial application.)

- Oasis® Wound Matrix/Oasis® Ultra Tri-Layer Matrix
- TheraSkin®

Venous Status Ulcers

Products	Medical Necessity Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apligraf® • EpiFix® Amniotic Membrane • Oasis® Wound Matrix • TheraSkin® 	<p>VII. The products listed in the left column may be considered medically necessary for the treatment of venous stasis ulcers when all of the following (A.-G.) criteria are met:</p> <p>A. The skin substitute is used in conjunction with standard venous stasis ulcer care; and</p> <p>B. The ulcer extends through the dermis <u>but without</u> tendon, muscle, joint, or bone exposure; and</p> <p>C. The ulcer is at least 2cm² but no more than 20cm²; and</p> <p>D. The ulcer is free of infection; and</p> <p>E. Failure of at least 4 weeks of standard venous stasis ulcer therapy (e.g., compression therapy); and</p> <p>F. The leg to be treated has adequate blood supply as defined by at least one of the following (1.-2.) criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ankle-brachial index (ABI) of ≥ 0.70; and/or 2. The presence of a palpable pedal pulse; and <p>G. The skin substitute is limited to no more than 12 applications, at a minimum of 1 week between applications, over the course of 12 weeks.</p>

Traumatic Wounds

- VIII. The use of the following FDA approved skin and tissue substitute products may be considered **medically necessary** for the treatment of traumatic wounds when autografting is not possible:
- A. Biosynthetic dressing products (including Biobrane®/Biobrane®-L)
 - B. Cultured epidermal autograft products (including Epicel)
 - C. Integra dermal regeneration and bilayer products:
 1. Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template
 2. Integra® Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix
 3. Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing
 4. Integra® Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix
 - D. Human fibroblast derived temporary skin substitute (including TransCyte®)

Skin and Tissue Substitutes as a Component of Genital Surgery

- IX. The use of a skin substitute as a component of a genital surgery may be **medically necessary** for surgical wound coverage prior to skin grafting. Member must meet medical necessity criteria for gender affirming surgery.

Skin Substitutes for prevention of Frey’s Syndrome after Parotidectomy

- X. The use of a skin substitute may be **medically necessary** to prevent Frey’s Syndrome after parotidectomy.

Repeat Treatment

- XI. Repeat treatment (i.e. any additional applications after the initial 12-week treatment period outlined in criteria VI. And VII. above) of diabetic foot ulcers or venous stasis ulcers using skin and tissue substitutes may be considered **medically necessary** when the ulcer continues to improve on the basis of wound documentation. Wound documentation must include **all** of the following (A.-C.):
 - A. The number and position of ulcers; **and**
 - B. Wound measurements for each ulcer, including all of the following (1.-3.):
 - 1. Length; **and**
 - 2. Width; **and**
 - 3. Depth; **and**
 - C. Descriptions of wound edge parameters, wound base quality, drainage, and infection.

Non-Covered Indications

- XII. The use of skin and tissue substitutes is considered **not medically necessary** when the medically necessary indication and/or product and/or criteria above are not met, including, but not limited to:
 - A. Complex nasal reconstruction
 - B. Tympanic membrane perforation
 - C. Hernia repair
 - D. Rotator cuff tear repair
 - E. Repair of non-traumatic surgical excision of skin/soft tissue mass/lesion (e.g., Mohs surgery for squamous or basal cell carcinomas)

Not Medically Necessary Skin Substitutes

- XIII. Skin and tissue substitute products not listed in the tables above are considered **not medically necessary**, including, but not limited to, the following:

Products		
AC5® Topical Gel	Cymetra	Novafix

	<i>Note: May be medically necessary for the treatment of vocal cord paralysis.</i>	
Affinity	Cytal®	NovoSorb
Allogen	Dermacyte Amniotic Membrane	NuCel™
Alloskin™ AC	Dermabind	NuDYN® NuDYN® DL NuDYN® DL MESH NuDYN® SL NuDYN® SLW
Alloskin™ RT	Derma-gide	NuShield™
Allowrap™	DermaMatrix Acellular Dermis	Oasis® Burn Matrix
Altipty	Derm-maxx	Omeza collagen matrix
AmnioAMP-MP	DermaPure™	Orion
AmnioArmorAmnioAMP-MP	DermaSpan™	PalinGen®/Promatrix®
AmnioBand® ParticulateAmnioArmor	Dermavest	PalinGen® Xplus
AmniobindAmnioBand® Particulate	Durepair Regeneration Matrix®	Permacol™
Amnion Bio/AxobiomembraneAmniobind	Endoform Dermal Template™	Permeaderm
AmniocoreAmnion Bio/Axobiomembrane	ENDURAGen	Phoenix wound matrix
Amniocyte PlusAmniocore	Enverse	PriMatrix™
AmnioEXCEL™/BiodExcel™Amniocyte Plus	Epifix® Injectable	Procenta
AmnioFix®AmnioEXCEL™/BiodExcel™	EpiCord™	Progenamatrix
AmnioMatrix®/BioMatrix®AmnioFix®	Epieffect	Protect
Amnio-maxx/Amnio-maxx LiteAmnioMatrix®/BioMatrix®	Esano	PuraPly™/PuraPly™ AM
AmnioPro-AAmnio-maxx/Amnio-maxx Lite	Excellagen®	ReCell
Amniorepair	E-Z Derm™	Reguard
AmniotextAmnioPro-A	E-Z Derm™ FloGraft™	Release
Amniotext PatchAmniorepairAmnioPro-A		Repriza®Reguard
Amnio WoundAmniotextAmniorepair	Floweramnio™ Flo Floweramnio™ Patch	Restorigin™ MembraneRelease Resolve Matrix™
Amniowrap2Amniotext PatchAmniotext	Flowerderm™	Restorigin™ FluidRepriza®

AmnipllyAmnio WoundAmniotext Patch	Fluid Flow™	RestrataRestorigin™ Membrane
ApisAmniowrap2Amnio Wound	GalaFLEX, Galaflex Mesh, Galaflex Scaffold	Revita®Restorigin™ Fluid
Architect™/Architect™ PX/Architect™ FX/Architect™ Extracellular MatrixAmnipllyAmniowrap2	GammaGraft	Revitalon™Restrata
Artacent™ AC PowderApisAmniplly	Genesis Amniotic Membrane	Signature APatchRevita®
Artacent™ AC GraftArchitect™/Architect™ PX/Architect™ FX/Architect™ Extracellular MatrixApis	Graftjacket® Xpress	SkinTE™Revitalon™
Artracent™ CordArtacent™ AC PowderArchitect™/Architect™ PX/Architect™ FX/Architect™ Extracellular Matrix	Helicoll™	Strattice™Signature APatch
ArthroFlex™Artacent™ AC GraftArtacent™ AC Powder	hMatrix®	Stravix SkinTE™
AscentArtracent™ CordArtacent™ AC Graft	Human Health Factor 10 Patch	SuredermStrattice™
Axolotl Graft/Axolotl DualgraftArthroFlex™Artracent ™ Cord	Hyalomatrix®Human Health Factor 10 Patch	SurgicordStravix
Axolotl Ambient/Axolotl CryoAscentArthroFlex™	InnovamatrixHyalomatrix®	SURGIgraft™Surederm
Barrera	Integra™ MatrixInnovamatrix	SURGIgraft™ DualSurgicord
BellaCell HDXolotl Graft/Axolotl DualgraftAscent	Integra™ Flowable Wound Matrix <i>Note: May be medically necessary for the treatment of vocal cord paralysis.</i> Integra™ Matrix	SurgraftSURGIgraft™Surgicord
Bio-conneKt™ Wound MatrixAxolotl Ambient/Axolotl CryoAxolotl Graft/Axolotl Dualgraft	Interfyl™Wound careIntegra™ Flowable Wound Matrix <i>Note: May be medically necessary for the treatment of vocal cord paralysis.</i>	Supra SDRMSURGIgraft™ DualSURGIgraft™
BioDesign® (e.g. 4-Layer Tissue Graft, Otologic Repair Graft) BellaCell HDXolotl Ambient/Axolotl Cryo	InnovaBurn® InnovaMatrix® XL InnovaMatrix® PD	SuprathelSurgraftSURGIgraft™ Dual
BioDfactor™Bio-conneKt™ Wound MatrixBellaCell HD	Keramatrix®Wound careInterfyl™Wound care	SurfactorSupra SDRMSurgraft

BioDfence™BioDesign® Otologic Repair GraftBio- conneKt™ Wound Matrix	Kerecis Omega3Soft tissue repairKeramatrix®Wound care	SymphonySuprathelSupra SDRM
	Kerecis Omega3 WoundKerecis Omega3Soft tissue repair	
BioDfence™ DryflexBioDfactor™BioDesign® Otologic Repair Graft	KeroxxWound care Soft tissue repairKerecis Omega3 Wound	TAGSurfactorSuprathel
Bionext PatchBioDfence™BioDfactor™	MariStem® MicromatrixSurgical barrier Tendon repairKeroxxWound care Soft tissue repair	Talymed™SymphonySursfactor
BioVance®BioDfence™ DryflexBioDfence™	Matrion™ Surgical barrier Tendon repairMariStem® MicromatrixSurgical barrier Tendon repair	TenoGlide®TAGSymphony
BioWound/Bio Wound Plus/BioWound XplusBionext PatchBioDfence™ Dryflex	Matrix HD™Wound careMatrion™ Surgical barrier Tendon repair	TenSIX™Talymed™TAG
CarepatchBioVance®Bionext Patch	Mediskin™Wound careMatrix HD™Wound care	TheragenesisTenoGlide®Talym ed™
CeleraBioWound/Bio Wound Plus/BioWound XplusBioVance®	MemoDerm™Wound careMediskin™Wound care	TruSkin™TenSIX™TenoGlide®
Cellesta™ Amniotic MembraneCarepatchBioWoun d/Bio Wound Plus/BioWound Xplus	Microlyte MatrixWound careMemoDerm™Wound care	VendajeTheragenesisTenSIX™
Cellesta™ CordCeleraCarepatch	MirragenWound careMicrolyte MatrixWound care	Veritas Collagen MatrixTruSkin™Theragenesis
Cellesta™ Flowable AmnionCellesta™ Amniotic MembraneCelera	Miro3D Wound Matrix	VIMVendajeTruSkin™
Clarix® FloCellesta™ CordCellesta™ Amniotic Membrane	Miroderm™Surgical barrier Wound careMirragenWound care	WoundEx/BioskinVeritas Collagen MatrixVendaje
Cogenex Amniotic MembraneCellesta™ Flowable AmnionCellesta™ Cord	MLG-CompleteWound careMiroderm™Surgical barrier Wound care	WoundEx Flow/Bioskin FlowVIMVeritas Collagen Matrix
	MyOwn SkinWound careMLG- CompleteWound care	

Cogenex Flowable AmnionClarix® FloCellesta™ Flowable Amnion	Neopatch™Integumental tissue repairMyOwn SkinWound care	Woundfix/Woundfix Plus/Woundfix XplusWoundEx/BioskinVIM
Coll-e-DermCogenex Amniotic MembraneClarix® Flo	NeoStimNeopatch™Integumen tal tissue repair	XcellerateWoundEx Flow/Bioskin FlowWoundEx/Bioskin
Complete™Cogenex Flowable AmnionCogenex Amniotic Membrane	NEOX® 100 Quick-Peel Wound MatrixWound careNeoStim	XcellistemWoundfix/Woundfix Plus/Woundfix XplusWoundEx Flow/Bioskin Flow
Conexa™Coll-e-DermCogenex Flowable Amnion	NEOX® 1k Wound MatrixTissue repairNEOX® 100 Quick-Peel Wound MatrixWound care	XCM Biologic Tissue MatrixXcellerateWoundfix/Wo undfix Plus/Woundfix Xplus
CorecyteComplete™Coll-e- Derm	NEOX® FLOTissue repairNEOX® 1k Wound MatrixTissue repair	XWRAP®XcellistemXcellerate
CoretextConexa™Complete™	NeoMatriX® Wound MatrixNEOX® FLOTissue repair	Zenith Amniotic MembraneXCM Biologic Tissue MatrixXcellistem
CorMatrixCorecyteConexa™	NovachorTendon repairNeoMatriX® Wound Matrix	XWRAP®XCM Biologic Tissue Matrix
CorplexCoretextCorecyte	NovachorTendon repair	Zenith Amniotic MembraneXWRAP®
CorMatrixCoretext	Miro3d fibers	Zenith Amniotic Membrane
CorplexCorMatrix	Mirodry	Corplex P
Corplex	Myriad matrix	Palingen
Cryo-cord	Myriad morcells	Abiomend
Cygnus™	Foundation Drs Solo	Xwrap plus
Chloripty	Amchoplast fd	Epixress
Amnio burgeon	Amnioscore	Ascendion
Surgraft a	Surgraft aca	Acelagraft
Neothelium 4l	Neothelium 4l+	Grafix duo
Amnioplast double	Acesso trifaca	Neothelium ft
Natalin	Summit aaa	Apollo ft
Axolotl dualgraft ultra	Axolotl graft ultra	Cohealyx collagen dermal matrix
G4derm plus	Marigen pacto	Innovamatrix fd
Summit AC	Summit fx	Polygon3
Absolv3	Choriofix	Simplichor

Link to [Evidence Summary](#)

POLICY CROSS REFERENCES

- [Cosmetic and Reconstructive Surgery](#), MP98
- [Breast Reconstructive Surgery, Reduction Mammoplasty, and Implant Management](#), MP58

- [Gender Affirming Surgical Interventions](#), MP32

The full Company portfolio of current Medical Policies is available online and can be [accessed here](#).

POLICY GUIDELINES

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

Medical records documentation must clearly support the medical necessity of bioengineered skin and tissue substitutes. This would include the following:

- Characteristics of the wound/ulcer
- Wound/ulcer measurement
- Evidence of prior ineffective standard care, including the duration of this treatment
- The presence of qualifying or disqualifying conditions (i.e., HbA1C levels, ankle-brachial index [ABI])

BACKGROUND

Burn Wounds

Burn injuries are classified by the depth of the wound.¹

- First-degree burns involve only the epidermal layer (the outermost layer of skin). These burns heal completely within several days.
- Second-degree (partial-thickness) burns involve the epidermis and only part of the dermis (the thick layer of living tissue below the epidermis that forms the true skin, containing blood capillaries, nerve endings, sweat glands, hair follicles, and other structures). These burns may heal spontaneously, although healing usually requires reepithelialization from adjacent unburned skin or skin substitutes.
- Third-degree (full-thickness) burns involve all of the epidermal and dermal layers, with varying amounts of the sub-cutaneous layer. These burns cannot heal spontaneously and thus require excision and grafting.
- Fourth-degree burns involve deep structures such as tendon, muscle, and bone.

The successful treatment of burn wounds requires timely restoration of the skins protective function. “Conventionally, autologous split or full-thickness skin grafts have been recognized as the best definitive burn wound coverage, but it is constrained by the limited available sources, especially in major burns. Donor site morbidities in term of additional wounds and scarring are also of concern of the autograft application.”² Skin substitutes are necessary for both acute burn wounds and in patients requiring extensive reconstruction post-burn.

Diabetic Foot Ulcer

Chronic foot ulcers are common in hyperglycemia or undiagnosed poorly controlled diabetes due to damage of nerves (neuropathy), blood vessels (poor blood flow), and other body systems.

“Approximately 85% of lower limb amputations among people with diabetes are preceded by a foot

ulcer.”³ Diabetes-related foot ulcers are diagnosed by clinical evaluation and are classified based on the ulcer size, depth, and presence of an infection. The Wagner Ulcer Classification System is the most commonly used:

- Grade 0: No open lesions; may have deformity of cellulitis
- Grade 1: Superficial diabetic ulcer (partial- or full-thickness)
- Grade 2: Ulcer extension to ligament, tendon, joint capsule, or deep fascia without abscess or osteomyelitis
- Grade 3: Deep ulcer with abscess, osteomyelitis, or joint sepsis
- Grade 4: Gangrene localized to portion of forefoot or heel
- Grade 5: Extensive gangrenous involvement of the entire foot³

Treatment includes vascular and wound assessment, infection control, debridement, dressing changes, and offloading. “Offloading is the use of devices to reduce pressure on the wound, such as casts, removable cast walkers, and special shoes.”³ Amputation is required when diabetic foot ulcers do not respond to treatment or become infected.

Venous Stasis Ulcer

Venous stasis ulcers, also known as venous leg ulcers or varicose ulcers, “are partial or full-thickness defects of an area of the skin in the lower leg, usually between the knee and the ankle, due to valvular incompetence and venous reflux causing venous hypertension.”⁴ These ulcers are common in older patients, women, and patients with conditions causing chronic venous insufficiency (e.g., congestive heart failure) and/or venous damage (e.g., injection drug use). Longer wound duration and larger wound surface area are associated with poor ulcer healing. “Standard care for venous leg ulcers typically includes wound care and compression therapy. Wound care may include cleansing, debridement, infection control, dressing, and bandaging.”⁴ In patients whose venous stasis ulcers do not heal despite standard care, venous surgery to correct underlying venous pressure may be required.

Skin Substitutes

Skin substitutes, also known as bioengineered, tissue-engineered, or artificial skin, are intended to protect wounds and reconstruct defective, ulcerated tissue.⁴ They function by physically covering wounds and providing structure to induce tissue regeneration and subsequent wound healing. They are generally classified into three main types:

1. Cellular—composed of living cells; or
2. Acellular—composed of synthetic materials or tissue from which living cells have been removed; or
3. A combination of cellular and acellular components.

Cellular skin substitutes are further categorized as follows:

- Autograft: A sample of the patient’s own healthy skin is harvested and placed in the ulcer
- Allografts: Skin or tissue harvested from another human (e.g., cadaver)
- Xenograft: Skin or tissue is harvested from an animal with similar skin structure (e.g., pigs).

Although there are many different types of skin substitutes, they are all similarly used as an adjunct to standard wound care. Application of a skin substitute requires that no infection be present, the wound bed is properly prepared, and the wound has achieved hemostasis.

REGULATORY STATUS

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)

Approval or clearance by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) does not in itself establish medical necessity or serve as a basis for coverage. Therefore, this section is provided for informational purposes only.

Depending on the purpose or function of the skin substitute, FDA regulation is through the premarket approval (PMA) process or 510(k) premarket notification process. Products derived from donated human tissue are overseen by the FDA regulations for banked human tissue and the American Association of Tissue Banks (AATB) guidelines.

PMA Process

Skin substitutes that are classified by the FDA as an interactive wound and burn dressing are approved under the PMA process as a class III, high-risk device. These are considered interactive because they actively promote healing by interacting directly or indirectly with body tissues.

510(k) Premarket Notification Process

Skin substitutes approved under the 510(k) premarket notification processes are typically those whose primary purpose is to protect the wound and provide a foundation for proper healing. These skin substitutes may or may not interact with body tissues.

Mesh Products for Breast Surgery

In November 2023, the FDA published a letter to health care providers regarding labeling updates for Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD) mesh products, clarifying that “the safety and effectiveness of surgical mesh in breast surgery, including in augmentation or reconstruction, has not been determined by the FDA.”⁵ This includes but is not limited to the following products:

- Phasix Mesh
- Phasix ST Mesh
- Phasix Plug and Patch
- Phasix ST Mesh with Open Positioning System (OPS)
- Phasix ST Mesh with Echo 2 Positioning System, and
- GalaFLEX Lite Scaffold
- GalaFLEX Scaffold
- GalaFLEX 3D Scaffold
- GalaFLEX 3DR Scaffold

FDA Regulations for Tissue and Tissue Products

Donated skin or tissue “intended for implantation, transplantation, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient is regulated as a human cell, tissue, and cellular and tissue-based product or HCT/P.”⁶ The Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) regulates HCT/Ps under 21 CFR Parts 1270 and 1271.

The following products are addressed in the policy criteria above as medically necessary for breast reconstruction, burn wounds, diabetic foot ulcers, or venous stasis ulcers.

Products	Indications for Use
AlloDerm ^{®7}	AlloDerm is to be used for repair or replacement of damaged or inadequate integumental tissue or for other homologous uses of human integument.
Apligraf ^{®8}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apligraf is indicated for use with standard therapeutic compression for the treatment of non-infected partial and full-thickness skin ulcers due to venous insufficiency of greater than 1 month duration and which have not adequately responded to conventional ulcer therapy. • Apligraf is also indicated for use with standard diabetic foot ulcer care for the treatment of full-thickness neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers of greater than three weeks duration which have not adequately responded to conventional ulcer therapy and which extend through the dermis but without tendon, muscle, capsule, or bone exposure.
Biobrane [®] /Biobrane [®] -L ^{9,10}	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary wound dressing for coverage of superficial burns, donor sites and meshed autografts. • Application should be to freshly debrided or excised wounds, or meshed autografts containing less than 10⁵ bacteria/g tissue. • The debridement or excision must be done thoroughly to remove all coagulum or eschar. BIOBRANE/BIOBRANE-L will not adhere to dead tissue and any remaining necrotic tissue may cause infection. • Establish hemostasis prior to application of BIOBRANE/BIOBRANE-L. • Apply fabric (dull) side down, wrinkle-free against the wound surface with slight tension. • If less secondary adherence is desired (e.g. deeper donor sites or meshed autografts), BIOBRANE-L is recommended. • Under slight tension immobilize BIOBRANE/BIOBRANE-L using staples, tape, sutures, or skin closure strips and wrap area with dry gauze dressing or other stenting device to hold the dressing firmly in contact with the wound surface for 24 to 36 hours.
Dermacell ^{™11}	Intended for use as bio-implant during breast reconstruction surgery or during the treatment of chronic wounds.

Dermagraft® ¹²	Dermagraft is indicated for use in the treatment of full-thickness diabetic foot ulcers greater than six weeks duration, which extend through the dermis, but without tendon, muscle, joint capsule, or bone exposure. Dermagraft should be used in conjunction with standard wound care regimens and in patients that have adequate blood supply to the involved foot.
Epicel ¹³	Epicel is indicated for use in adult and pediatric patients who have deep dermal or full thickness burns comprising a total body surface area greater than or equal to 30%. It may be used in conjunction with split-thickness autografts, or alone in patients for whom split-thickness autografts may not be an option due to the severity and extent of their burns.
EpiFix® Amniotic Membrane ¹⁴	EpiFix is an amnion/chorion membrane allograft for acute and chronic wound care.
FlexHD® Acellular Hydrated Dermis ¹⁵	FlexHD is used for the replacement of damaged or inadequate integumental tissue or for the repair, reinforcement, or supplemental support of soft tissue defects.
Grafix® ¹⁶	Grafix is a cryopreserved placental membrane comprised of an extracellular matrix (ECM) rich in collagen, growth factors, fibroblasts, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and epithelial cells native to the tissue. Designed for application directly to acute and chronic wounds with a flexible, conforming cover that adheres to complex anatomies.
GraftJacket™ Regenerative Tissue Matrix ¹⁷	The GRAFTJACKET™ Matrix is used to provide supplemental support, protection, and reinforcement of tendon and ligamentous tissue; to be used as a periosteal patch or covering; or for protection and support of bone and tendons in foot & ankle and hand surgery.
Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template/Omnigraft® Dermal Regeneration Matrix ¹⁸	The original PMA (P900033), Integra Dermal Regeneration Template (Integra Template) was approved for post-excisional treatment of life-threatening full thickness or deep partial-thickness thermal injuries where sufficient autograft is not available at the time of excision or not desirable due to the physiological condition of the patient. Another indication was added (supplement S042) for the treatment of partial and full-thickness neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers that are greater than six weeks in duration, with no capsule, tendon or bone exposed, when used in conjunction with standard diabetic ulcer care. Integra Template is also marketed as Integra Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix (Omnigraft), specifically for the indication in the treatment of partial and full-thickness neuropathic diabetic foot ulcers that are greater than six weeks in duration, with no capsule, tendon or bone exposed, when used in conjunction with standard diabetic ulcer care.
– Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing ¹⁹	The Integra Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing and the Integra Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix Dressing are substantially equivalent to the Integra Dermal Regeneration Template. These

<p>– Integra® Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix²⁰</p>	<p>products are indicated for the management of wounds including: partial and full thickness wounds, pressure ulcers, venous ulcers, diabetic ulcers, chronic vascular ulcers, surgical wounds (donor sites/grafts, post-Moh’s surgery, post-laser surgery, podiatric, wound dehiscence), trauma wounds (abrasions, lacerations, second-degree burns, and skin tears) and draining wounds. The device is intended for one-time use.</p>
<p>Oasis® Wound Matrix²¹</p>	<p>The OASIS® Wound Matrix device's intended use is for the management of wounds including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • partial and full-thickness wounds, • pressure ulcers, • venous ulcers, • diabetic ulcers, • chronic vascular ulcers, • tunneled/undermined wounds, • surgical wounds (donor sites/grafts, post-Moh's surgery, post-laser surgery, podiatric, wound dehiscence), • trauma wounds (abrasions, lacerations, second-degree burns, and skin tears), • draining wounds.
<p>TheraSkin®²²</p>	<p>TheraSkin is a biologically active, cryopreserved real human skin allograft, composed of living cells, fibroblasts and keratinocytes, and a fully developed extra cellular matrix (ECM) in its epidermis and dermis layers. TheraSkin can be used on chronic wounds with exposed muscle, bone, tendon and joint capsule including, but not limited to, DFUs, VLU, Arterial ulcers, dehisced surgical wounds, pressure sores and wounds that might otherwise require an autograft.</p>
<p>TransCyte®²³</p>	<p>Indicated for use as a temporary wound covering for surgically excised full-thickness and deep partial-thickness thermal burn wounds in patients who require such a covering prior to autograft placement; and for the treatment of mid-dermal to indeterminate depth burn wounds that typically require debridement and that may be expected to heal without autografting.</p>

Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE)^{24,25}

HDE is a special FDA approval that allows a device to be marketed on a limited basis provided that:

1. The device is used to treat or diagnose a disease or condition that affects or is manifested in fewer than 4,000 individuals in the United States per year
2. The device would not be available to a person with such a disease or condition unless the exemption is granted
3. No comparable device is available to treat or diagnose the disease or condition; and
4. The device will not expose patients to an unreasonable or significant risk of illness or injury, and the probable benefit to health from using the device outweighs the risk of injury or illness from

its use, taking into account the probable risks and benefits of currently available devices or alternative forms of treatment

HDE applications are not required to contain the results of scientifically valid clinical investigations demonstrating that the device is effective for its intended purpose. The application, however, must contain sufficient information for FDA to determine that the device does not pose an unreasonable or significant risk of illness or injury. The labeling must also indicate that the effectiveness of the device for the specific indication has not been demonstrated.

Humanitarian use devices may only be used in facilities that have obtained an institutional review board (IRB) approval to oversee the usage of the device in the facility, and after an IRB has approved the use of the device to treat or diagnose the specific rare disease. The HDE holder (defined as the person who or entity that obtains the approval of an HDE from FDA) is responsible for ensuring that a device approved under an HDE is administered only in facilities having an IRB constituted and acting in accordance with the FDA's regulation governing IRBs (21 CFR Part 56), including continuing review of use of the device.

CLINICAL EVIDENCE AND LITERATURE REVIEW

EVIDENCE REVIEW

A review of the ECRI, Hayes, Cochrane, and PubMed databases was conducted regarding the use of skin substitutes for breast reconstruction, burn wounds, diabetic foot ulcers, or venous stasis ulcers. Below is a summary of the available evidence identified through December 2025.

Systematic Reviews

- In 2020, and reviewed in 2023, Hayes conducted a comparative effectiveness review to evaluate cellular skin substitutes for chronic foot ulcers in adults with diabetes mellitus.³ The evidence review identified 11 randomized controlled trials (RCT) and 2 observational retrospective database studies as eligible for inclusion. Sample sizes ranged from 23 to 180 participants in the RCTs, while the 2 observational studies assessed data from 20,482 and 13,193 patients. Outcome measures included incidence of complete ulcer closure, time to complete ulcer closure, incidence of amputation, and quality of life (QOL). Studies compared cellular skin substitutes to standard wound therapy, other cellular skin substitutes, acellular substitutes, and standard wound therapy plus acellular skin substitutes.

Four studies reported on incidence of amputation. Two RCTs compared incidence of amputation posttreatment with 1 of 2 cellular substitutes, Hyalograft/Laserskin or Grafix, with standard wound care, and found no difference in incidence, with extremely low incidences in all groups. One retrospective study found Apligraf to significantly reduce the need for amputation compared to standard wound care (11.8% versus 16.3%, respectively). The other retrospective study compared 2 cellular skin substitutes and 2 acellular skin substitutes, and found differences in amputation incidence among the 4 treatments, ranging from 7% to 10.1%, but no pairwise analysis was performed.

Eleven studies reported on the incidence of complete ulcer healing. In the 6 studies comparing cellular skin substitutes to standard wound care alone, incidence of complete healing ranged from

24% to 89% in the cellular substitutes group and 21% to 69% in standard care group. Five of the 6 studies reported a statistically greater incidence of complete ulcer healing in the cellular group, while one study found no difference. In 3 studies comparing different cellular skin substitutes, 1 RCT found a significantly higher incidence of complete healing the Apligraf group compared to TheraSkin. Another trial found early benefit of TheraSkin versus Dermagraft, but the significant difference diminished at follow up. The third study found no difference in healing between Grafix and Dermagraft. Four studies compare complete ulcer healing between cellular and acellular skin substitutes. One RCT found no significant difference between Dermagraft and MatriStem (acellular). Another RCT found healing rates were higher in the EpiFix (acellular) group compared to Apligraf. A third RCT found higher rates in the Amnioband group (acellular) compared with Apligraf group. The fourth study, a retrospective analysis, found higher healing rates with 2 acellular substitutes (MatriStem and Oasis) compared to Apligraf and Dermagraft.

Strengths of these studies included the randomized controlled design, large sample sizes, masked outcome assessors, and using computer programs to assess wound size and/or closure. Limitations are present in the short follow-up duration of some studies and inadequate number of patients to attain adequate power. Ultimately, Hayes concluded the following rating:

- C—“For use of cellular skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard wound care (SWC) to treat chronic, uninfected diabetes-associated foot ulcers (DFUs) that have not healed with SWC alone in adults with well-controlled blood glucose and adequate blood flow to the extremities”³
- In 2020 (and reviewed in 2023) Hayes conducted a comparative effectiveness review of acellular skin substitutes for chronic foot ulcers in adults with diabetes mellitus.²⁶ The report included 13 RCTs and one observational retrospective study in their review. Hayes deemed 5 RCTs to be good quality, 5 of fair quality, and 3 of poor quality.

Similar to the report on cellular substitutes, no significant differences in incidence of amputation were found comparing acellular skin substitutes and standard wound care, based on one RCT. Eleven studies compared incidence of complete ulcer healing after acellular skin substitutes versus standard wound care, with 10 finding acellular substitutes to have higher incidence (14.3% to 97% in acellular groups versus 0% to 91% in standard care groups) and one study that did not analyze results. In 4 studies that compared healing rates between acellular and cellular skin substitutes, one RCT found no difference (MatriStem [acellular] v Dermagraft), while two other RCTs and one retrospective study found acellular to have higher rates of complete healing. EpiFix (acellular) had significantly higher healing rates compared to Apligraf (cellular), Amnioband (acellular) had significantly higher rates compared to Apligraf, and MatriStem and Oasis acellular skin substitutes performed better than Apligraf and Dermagraft cellular substitutes.

The overall quality of evidence among the studies was low to very low, due to limitations an individual studies, including follow up time, sample sizes, and risks of bias due to a lack of blinding. The available evidence suggests that acellular substitutes are an effective treatment for diabetic foot ulcer compared to standard wound care alone, but no definitive conclusions can be drawn on acellular skin substitutes benefit over cellular skin substitutes. More large, well-designed clinical trials are needed to evaluate comparative effectiveness and safety of acellular skin substitutes as adjuncts to standard wound care. Hayes concludes:

- C—“For use of acellular skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard wound care (SWC) to treat chronic, uninfected diabetes-associated foot ulcers (DFUs) that have not healed with SWC alone in adults with well-controlled blood glucose and adequate blood flow to the extremities.

This Rating reflects an overall low-quality body of evidence, which suggests that while acellular skin substitutes appear to be safe and their addition to SWC results in healing of more chronic DFUs than SWC alone in a shorter time frame, questions remain about their effect on the incidence of amputation and on ulcer recurrence due to the limited number of studies on these outcomes. Use of acellular skin substitutes does not appear to present unique or serious safety concerns. Evidence directly comparing different acellular skin substitutes or comparing acellular with cellular skin substitutes is of very low quality, extremely limited, and insufficient to inform whether any 1 product or product type is superior.”²⁶

- In 2020 (and reviewed in 2023), Hayes conducted a comparative effectiveness review to evaluate skin substitutes for chronic venous leg ulcers in adults.⁴ The evidence review identified 8 studies from 10 publications on skin substitutes as adjunct to standard wound care for venous leg ulcers that met the inclusion criteria, 5 RCTs and 3 retrospective observational studies. Hayes categorized studies as follows: one good-quality RCT, 4 fair-quality RCTs, and 3 poor-quality retrospective studies. Primary outcomes measured include complete ulcer closure, time to complete ulcer closure, and time to healing.

Eight studies (5 RCTs and 3 retrospective studies) reported on incidence of complete ulcer healing. One RCT found a significant increase in complete ulcer healing for application for TalyMed once every other week relative to standard wound care (86.4% versus 45%). Two RCTs found that the Epifix group had a higher rate of complete healing compared to standard wound care alone. Another study found no significant difference between skin substitutes (Dermagraft) and standard wound care at 12 and 24 weeks. Two retrospective studies comparing cellular (Apligraf) to acellular (Oasis and Primatrix) skin substitutes found that Apligraf had significantly higher rates of complete healing compared to the acellular substitutes. Two studies compared Apligraf and Theraskin and found conflicting results. One RCT found no significant difference between the skin substitutes on incidence of complete ulcer healing, while a retrospective study found higher rates in the Apligraf group.

Four studies reported on time to complete ulcer healing. One RCT found no difference in time comparing cellular skin substitutes to standard wound care. Two retrospective studies found quicker healing times in cellular versus acellular skin substitutes and one retrospective study found Apligraf to have quicker healing times than Theraskin. Through this analysis, Hayes concluded that skin substitutes appear to be safe and there is a low-quality body of evidence that suggests skin substitutes may improve healing of chronic venous leg ulcers when added to standard wound care. Hayes gave skin substitutes for venous ulcer in adults a C rating.

- In 2016, Santema et al. conducted a Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of skin substitutes in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers.²⁷ Following the Cochrane Collaboration methodology, independent reviewers systematically identified relevant literature, assessed quality and extracted data. The outcomes of interest included proportion of ulcers completely healed, time to complete ulcer healing, and incidence of lower limb amputations.

The authors identified 17 randomized controlled trials as eligible for inclusion, encompassing 1, 655 patients with diabetic foot ulcerations. Of these trials, 13 compared a skin substitute to standard of care and 4 compared two types of skin substitutes. “When including all randomized participants, the proportion of completely healed ulcers ranged between 7.7% and 56.3% in the standard care group and 21.1% and 92.3% in the intervention group. The pooled risk ratio (RR) for complete ulcer healing was 1.55 in favor of the intervention group (95 % CI 1.30 –1.85; RD 0.25, 95 % CI 0.14–0. 37; NNT 4, 95% CI 3–8).”²⁷ The reporting was very heterogeneous for the outcome of time to complete ulcer healing; therefore, it was not possible to make clinical relevant comparisons. When pooling the studies that evaluated the incidence of lower limb amputations, the authors found a statistically significant lower amputation rate for the skin substitute group at 12 weeks.

This Cochrane systematic review was of good quality and had several strengths, including:

1. the systematic gathering of evidence, assessment of quality, and extraction of data by several independent reviewers following a pre-defined protocol
2. contacting authors of selected studies for additional information or data
3. assessment of heterogeneity, reporting bias, and publication bias
4. meta-analyses only being conducted when studies were determined to be homogeneous
5. sensitivity analyses to evaluate the influence of studies with a high risk of bias or high losses to follow-up

Limitations of this systematic review were the inclusion of studies with a high risk of bias and the potential for publication bias. Ultimately, the authors concluded “(t)his systematic review provides evidence that skin substitutes can, in addition to standard care, increase the likelihood of achieving complete ulcer closure compared with standard care alone in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers.”²⁷

Medically Necessary Skin Substitutes

The following evidence tables are intended to succinctly list the peer-reviewed literature which supports medical necessity for the respective products. An evidence review was not performed for products which are included in the systematic reviews described above. Due to the large body of evidence, only the most recent peer-reviewed medical literature is included in the citations.

Breast Reconstruction

Products	Evidence
Allogenic Acellular Dermal Matrix (ADM) products	Allogenic (ADM) products (including both AlloDerm® and FlexHD® Acellular Hydrated Dermis) are established products for breast reconstruction and are supported in the peer-reviewed medical literature. ^{24,28-33}

Burn Wound

Products	Evidence
Biosynthetic dressing products	The peer-reviewed medical literature supports the use of biosynthetic dressing products (including Biobrane®/Biobrane®-L) as a temporary skin substitute in acute burn wounds. ³⁴⁻³⁶ In general, these products performed

	better than the standard of care for wound healing rates, length of hospital stay, and pain.
Cultured epidermal autograft products Epicel	Cultured epidermal autograft products (Epicel) received FDA approval under a Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE); therefore, this product is exempt from the effectiveness requirements necessary for FDA approval. The evidence review did identify two nonrandomized studies which evaluated Epicel for burn wounds. ^{37,38} The most recent study (Carsin et al.) found that Epicel provided extensive and permanent burn coverage and improved the survival rate in severely burned patients.
Dermal regeneration and bilayer products	The Integra dermal regeneration and bilayer products (including Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template; Integra® Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix; Integra® Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing; Integra® Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix) are well-established in the peer-reviewed medical literature as safe and effective treatments for burn wounds. ³⁹⁻⁴⁵
Human fibroblast-derived temporary skin substitute	The peer-reviewed medical literature supports human fibroblast-derived temporary skin substitute (such as TransCyte) as a safe and effective treatment for burn wounds. ⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰ Results of these clinical trials indicated that TransCyte promoted faster healing, less scarring, and shorter hospital stays.

Diabetic Foot Ulcer

Products	Evidence
Apligraf®	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review and Cochrane systematic review described above.
Allopatch	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review
Amnioband	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review
Dermagraft®	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review and Cochrane systematic review described above.
EpiFix® Amniotic Membrane	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review and Cochrane systematic review described above.
Grafix® Core/Grafix® Prime	Recent randomized controlled trials support the efficacy of Grafix products for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers (DFU). ^{51,52} Both studies showed that treatment of DFU with Grafix significantly improved healing, reduced DFU-related complications, and shortened healing times. A 2022 Hayes review found consistent evidence from low-quality studies that adjunctive treatment with Grafix may improve healing of DFU. ⁵³
GraftJacket® Regenerative Tissue Matrix	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review and Cochrane systematic review described above.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Integra® Dermal Regeneration Template – Integra™ Omnigraft Dermal Regeneration Matrix 	The use of Integra products for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) is supported in the peer-reviewed literature by randomized controlled trials (RCT). ^{54,55} A good-quality RCT by Driver et al. (2015) was conducted at 32 sites and randomized 307 patients to treatment with Integra or standard of care. Patients were treated for 16 weeks or until complete wound closure and followed-up for an additional 12 weeks. The results showed a statistically significant increase in the rate of complete wound closure for Integra patients compared to the standard of care (51% vs. 32%; p=0.001).

	In addition, patients treated with Integra had increased healing times and less adverse events. A 2021 ECRI review of these bilayer matrix products by Integra had an evidence bar of “somewhat favorable”. ⁵⁶ One RCT and three case series (reporting on 415 patients) showed that products in addition to standard care improves complete healing rates at 16-week follow-up and reduces time to wound healing.
Oasis® Wound Matrix/Oasis® Ultra Tri-Layer Matrix	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review described above.
TheraSkin®	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review described above.

Venous Status Ulcer

Products	Evidence
Apligraf®	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review described above.
EpiFix® Amniotic Membrane	The use of EpiFix for the treatment of venous stasis ulcers is supported in the peer-reviewed literature by randomized controlled trials (RCT). ^{57,58} Most recently, Bianchi et al. (2017) conducted a multicenter RCT evaluating 109 patients with venous leg ulcers. Patients were recruited from 15 centers around the U.S. and followed-up for 16 weeks. The results indicated that patients receiving EpiFix in conjunction with compression therapy were statistically significantly more likely to experience complete wound healing than patients receiving standard wound care alone (60% vs. 35% at 12 weeks, p=0.0128; 71% vs. 44% at 16 weeks, p=0.0065). The older RCT by Serena et al. (2014) also showed a statistically significant difference in wound closure rates in favor of the Epifix group at 4 weeks follow-up (62% vs. 32%, p=0.005).
Oasis® Wound Matrix	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review described above.
TheraSkin®	This product was included in the Hayes comparative effectiveness review described above.

Parotidectomy

- In 2013, Li et al. conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of different types of grafts for the prevention of Frey syndrome after parotidectomy.⁵⁹ Independent reviewers systematically identified relevant literature, assessed quality, and extracted then pooled data. The outcomes of interest were the relative risk of Frey syndrome using skin substitute grafting or muscle flaps (another preventative measure) following parotidectomy.

The authors identified 14 randomized controlled trails encompassing 1,098 participants as eligible for inclusion. All studies had an unclear risk of bias. Although, the results of the meta-analysis indicated that the use of an acellular dermal matrix can reduce the risk of Frey syndrome up to 82%, the muscle flaps can also reduce the risk of Frey syndrome up to 81%. Additionally, there was no

statistically significant difference was found between the acellular dermal matrix and muscle flap groups (RR 0.73, 95% CI 0.15 to 3.53, P = .70).

The strengths of this study include the systematic gathering of evidence, assessment of quality, and extraction of data by several independent reviewers following a pre-defined protocol, and the assessment of heterogeneity and sensitivity. Limitations are present in the heterogeneity of included studies and the poor quality of the RCTs (heterogeneous patient populations, small sample sizes, and short follow-up periods). The authors concluded that “the evidence suggests grafts are effective in preventing Frey syndrome after parotidectomy. More randomized clinical trials are needed to confirm our conclusions and prove the safety of the grafts.”⁵⁹

- In 2012, Zeng and colleagues conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the AlloDerm skin substitute for the prevention of Frey syndrome after parotidectomy.⁶⁰ Independent reviewers identified relevant studies, extracted and pooled data, and assessed quality. The primary outcome of interest was the relative risk reduction in objective and subjective incidence.

Following systematic review, the authors had identified 5 studies including 409 patients as eligible for inclusion. Results of the meta-analysis showed a relative risk reduction of 85% in the objective incidence and 68% in the subjective incidence of Frey syndrome with AlloDerm implants. There was also a 91% relative risk reduction in salivary fistula. However, there was no statistically significant reduction in the incidence of facial nerve paralysis or seroma/sialocele.

Strengths of this systematic review include the evaluation of evidence and extraction of data by independent authors following a pre-defined protocol and the inclusion of only randomized controlled trials. Limitations are present in the significant inter-study heterogeneity, the poor quality of the included studies (small sample sizes, short follow-up periods, lack of blinding, lack of intention to treat analysis), and the small number of included studies (possible publication bias). Ultimately, the authors concluded “(t)here is evidence that AlloDerm reduces the incidence of Frey syndrome effectively and safely, and also has the potential to improve facial contour and decrease salivary fistula. However, it is unclear whether AlloDerm implants improve facial contour and decrease other complications. Thus, further controlled evaluative studies incorporating more precise measures are required.”⁶⁰

Not Medically Necessary Skin Substitutes

Other skin substitutes (such as those listed in Policy Criteria XIV.) are considered not medically necessary due to at least one of the following:

- There is no peer-reviewed literature to support the safety and/or clinical utility of the product.
- The available peer-reviewed literature is inadequate to establish the products safety and/or clinical utility due to poor quality studies with a high risk of bias. These studies had small and heterogeneous patient populations, lack of randomized controlled design, lack of a control group, and/or short-term follow-up periods.
- The product requires, but has not yet received U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval under the 510(k) premarket notification or premarket approval (PMA) process.

Not Medically Necessary Indications for Skin Substitutes

Hernia Repair

Systematic Reviews

- In 2018 (updated in 2022), Hayes conducted a comparative effectiveness review to evaluate the use of biologic mesh versus synthetic mesh for inguinal and ventral hernia repair and to compare different biologic mesh products.⁶¹ Independent reviewers systematically identified relevant literature, extracted data, and assessed quality. The primary outcomes of interest were hernia recurrence and complications.

The evidence base included one systematic review of four randomized controlled trials for inguinal hernia and one systematic review of twelve retrospective studies for ventral hernia, supplemented by eight subsequently published observational studies. For inguinal hernia, meta-analysis of randomized trials found no significant difference in recurrence between biologic and synthetic mesh (odds ratio 2.15, 95% confidence interval 0.39–11.74). Seroma incidence was higher with biologic mesh (odds ratio 2.67, 95% confidence interval 1.12–6.35). For ventral hernia, pooled analysis found no significant difference overall (odds ratio 0.76, 95% confidence interval 0.21–2.76), but several newer studies reported higher recurrence with biologic mesh, up to 26.3 percent compared with 8.9 percent for synthetic mesh. Comparisons among biologic meshes were limited and inconsistent; some data suggest higher recurrence with human-derived versus porcine-derived products, but findings were not statistically significant.

The strengths of this review include systematic methodology and inclusion of multiple comparative studies. Limitations include low-quality evidence overall, lack of randomized trials for ventral hernia, small sample sizes, and potential confounding in observational studies. Hayes assigned a rating of C for biologic versus synthetic mesh in inguinal hernia repair, reflecting low-quality, consistent evidence suggesting recurrence and complications may not differ and no clear advantage for biologic mesh. A rating of D was assigned for biologic versus synthetic mesh in ventral hernia repair, reflecting low-quality evidence suggesting similar or higher recurrence with biologic mesh and no clear benefit over synthetic mesh. Comparisons among biologic meshes received a rating of D, reflecting very-low-quality evidence and insufficient data to draw conclusions. Hayes concluded that biologic mesh does not confer advantages over synthetic mesh for inguinal or ventral hernia repair and may be associated with higher recurrence and cost. Evidence comparing different biologic meshes is insufficient to draw firm conclusions.

- In 2015, Antoniou et al. conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the comparative risk of hernia recurrence following primary suture or biologic mesh repair.⁶² Independent authors systematically identified relevant literature, extracted data, and evaluated quality. The primary outcomes of interest were short-term and long-term recurrence rates.

The authors identified 5 studies (2 randomized controlled trials and 3 case-control studies) encompassing 295 patients as eligible for inclusion. “Short-term recurrence rates were 16.6% and 3.5% for suture repair and biologic mesh repair, respectively (OR 3.74, 95 % CI 1.55–8.98, $p = 0.003$). Long-term recurrence based on data provided by one trial only was 51.3% and 42.4 %, respectively (OR 1.43, 95 % CI 0.56–3.63, $p = 0.45$). Sensitivity analysis of the two randomized trials at short-term follow up demonstrated no significant difference (OR 2.54, 95 % CI 0.92–7.02, $p = 0.07$).”⁶²

The strengths of this study include the systematic gathering of evidence, assessment of quality, and extraction of data by several independent reviewers following a pre-defined protocol, and the assessment of heterogeneity and sensitivity. Limitations are present in the small number of included studies, leading to possible publication bias, and the poor quality of included studies. The authors concluded, “(b)iological mesh repair of large hiatal hernias may confer short-term benefits in terms of hernia recurrence; however, the limited available information does not allow us to make conclusions about the long-term efficacy of biologic mesh in this setting. Individual biologic mesh grafts require further clinical assessment.”⁶²

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)

The evidence review did not identify any RCTs evaluating skin substitutes for hernia repair that were not included in the systematic reviews described above.

Nonrandomized Studies

The evidence review identified four additional recent nonrandomized studies evaluating allographic mesh for hernia repair.⁶³⁻⁶⁶ Meaningful conclusions cannot be drawn from the results of these studies due to methodological limitations; including, but not limited to, lack of randomized controlled design, lack of comparison group, small sample sizes, and short-term follow-up period.

Tympanic Membrane Perforation

No systematic reviews, randomized controlled studies, or prospective cohort studies were found on AlloDerm for tympanic membrane perforations. Retrospective studies, summarized below, are considered low quality of evidence due to high risk of bias from study design, small sample sizes, and confounding variables potentially present in analyses.

A 2005 retrospective investigated the efficacy of AlloDerm versus temporalis fascia in repairing perforations in patients who require surgery for chronic otitis media with perforation. The study reviewed records of 50 patients between 1999 and 2004 and found no significant difference in closure rates between the two grafting materials, but healing time was shortened with AlloDerm. Overall perforation closure rate was 92%, with an 84% success rate for AlloDerm and a 97% success rate for native temporalis fascia.⁶⁷

A 2006 retrospective study was conducted to compare lateral graft type 1 tympanoplasty with traditional underlay type 1 tympanoplasty using AlloDerm for tympanic membrane reconstruction in children. The study reviewed 34 records undergoing tympanoplasty between 2004 and 2005 and found that both groups significantly improved post-surgery, with a perforation closures rate of 94% for the lateral graft group and a closure rate of 88% for the underlay group. The authors conclude, “Results suggest that lateral graft type 1 tympanoplasty using AlloDerm® is effective for tympanic membrane reconstruction in children and should be used when temporalis fascia is not available or the extent of the perforation limits its use.”⁶⁸

A 2009 retrospective study on AlloDerm in type I tympanoplasty compared AlloDerm (n=25), fascia reconstruction (n=56), and fascia plus cartilage reconstruction (n=33) and found that AlloDerm significantly reduced operative time when controlled for surgeon and choice of approach, and all

grafting approaches had similar success rates. Success rates were 88%, 96.7% and 89% in AlloDerm, fascia, and fascia plus cartilage reconstruction, respectively.⁶⁹

Complex Nasal Reconstruction

The evidence review identified 4 nonrandomized trials evaluating acellular human dermal allograft (AlloDerm) for various nasal reconstruction and repair.⁷⁰⁻⁷³ Studies included 2 case series and 2 observational studies that had sample sizes of 12-54 participants. Conclusions cannot be drawn from the results of these studies due to their methodological limitations; including, but not limited to, lack of randomized controlled design, lack of comparison group, small sample sizes, and short-term follow-up period.

Rotator Cuff Tear

In 2017 (archived), the ECRI Institute conducted a clinical comparison review of allografts for repairing rotator cuff tears.⁷⁴ The authors identified two studies (1 small retrospective case series and 1 small prospective comparative trial) evaluating the AlloPatch HD and Arthroflex products for this indication. Overall, the evidence was inconclusive because of insufficient data. There was no published peer-reviewed literature that examined how well these products worked compared to the standard of care. The authors concluded by stating that randomized controlled trials comparing rotator cuff tear repair with and without these products, with a minimum of 2-year follow-up, are required to determine if there is an improvement in surgical outcomes.

Repair of Non-Traumatic Surgical Wounds

The evidence related to skin substitutes for the repair of non-traumatic surgical wounds (e.g., Mohs surgery for squamous or basal cell carcinomas) is limited to small case series and nonrandomized studies.⁷⁵⁻⁸⁴ Due to the poor methodological quality of these studies (lack of randomized design, lack of a control group, small sample sizes, short follow-up period, and lack of statistical analysis), there is insufficient evidence to establish the safety and medical necessity of skin substitutes for this indication. Further studies of good-methodological quality are required to support the effectiveness of skin substitutes for repair of non-traumatic surgical wounds, specifically Mohs surgery for squamous or basal cell carcinomas.

CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

The 2016 (updated in 2019) evidence-based NICE guideline for the prevention and management of diabetic foot problems recommended, “dermal or skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard care when treating diabetic foot ulcers, only when healing has not progressed and on the advice of the multidisciplinary foot care service.”⁸⁵

Society for Vascular Surgery/American Venous Forum

The 2014 evidence-based Society for Vascular Surgery/American Venous Forum guideline for the management of venous leg ulcers recommended the following:⁸⁶

- The Committee suggests against split-thickness skin grafting as primary therapy in treatment of venous leg ulcers. [Grade - 2; Level of Evidence - B] The Committee suggests split-thickness skin grafting with continued compression for selected large venous leg ulcers that have failed to show signs of healing with standard care for 4 to 6 weeks. [Grade - 2; Level of Evidence - B]
- The Committee suggests the use of cultured allogeneic bilayer skin replacements (with both epidermal and dermal layers) to increase the chances for healing in patients with difficult to heal venous leg ulcers in addition to compression therapy in patients who have failed to show signs of healing after standard therapy for 4 to 6 weeks. [Grade - 2; Level of Evidence - A]
- We recommend serial venous leg ulcer wound measurement and documentation. [BEST PRACTICE]. “Serial VLU wound measurement and documentation is important to determine baseline markers and effect of subsequent treatment measures on healing parameters. Documentation should include number and position of ulcers on the leg. Wound measurements should be made for each VLU, including area, perimeter, and depth, with additional descriptors of wound edge parameters, wound base quality, drainage, and infection.”

We suggest reapplication of cellular therapy as long as the venous leg ulcer continues to respond on the basis of wound documentation. [GRADE - 2; LEVEL OF EVIDENCE - C]. “The optimal frequency and timing of reapplication of biologic skin substitutes to VLUs remain controversial with little consensus in published studies...With no comparative dosing studies published to determine clinical or economic outcomes, the frequency of application remains at the discretion of the clinician. Current clinical practice has included application of grafts followed by a period of 1 to 3 weeks of observation to determine effectiveness before reapplication is considered.”

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

The evidence supports the efficacy and safety of select skin substitute products for the indications of breast reconstruction, burn wounds, diabetic foot ulcers, and venous stasis ulcers. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends the use of skin substitutes as an adjunct to standard care when treating refractor diabetic foot ulcers. The Society for Vascular Surgery and the American Venous Forum also recommends skin substitutes in patients with refractory venous stasis ulcers. The evidence is insufficient to establish the efficacy, safety, and medical necessity of several products due to a lack of high-quality peer-reviewed literature or a lack of appropriate regulation. In addition, there is not enough evidence to support the use of skin substitutes for other indications, including hernia repair, repair of rotator cuff tears, repair of non-traumatic surgical wounds (e.g., Mohs surgery), and for the prevention of parotidectomy complications. Further studies of good methodological quality are required to establish the safety, effectiveness, and clinical utility of these products and indications.

HEALTH EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines health equity as the state in which everyone has a fair and just opportunity to attain their highest level of health. Achieving health equity requires addressing health disparities and social determinants of health. A health disparity is the occurrence of diseases at greater levels among certain population groups more than among others. Health disparities are linked to social determinants of health which are non-medical factors that influence health outcomes such as the conditions in which people are born, grow, work, live, age, and the wider set of forces and systems shaping the conditions of daily life. Social determinants of health include unequal access to health care, lack of education, poverty, stigma, and racism.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health calls out unique areas where health disparities are noted based on race and ethnicity. Providence Health Plan (PHP) regularly reviews these areas of opportunity to see if any changes can be made to our medical or pharmacy policies to support our members obtaining their highest level of health. Upon review, PHP creates a Coverage Recommendation (CORE) form detailing which groups are impacted by the disparity, the research surrounding the disparity, and recommendations from professional organizations. PHP Health Equity COREs are updated regularly and can be found online [here](#).

BILLING GUIDELINES AND CODING

- Claims for skin substitutes submitted without documentation of associated wound care services (e.g., debridement, dressing changes, follow-up care) may be subject to denial or audit.
- Use of modifiers (e.g., JZ, 76) must be supported by clinical documentation.
- Claims manipulation to bypass reimbursement thresholds (e.g., splitting claims for the same date of service) is prohibited and may trigger audit.
- Skin substitute claims must originate from providers whose specialty and practice scope align with wound care. Geographic inconsistencies between patient and provider locations may be flagged for review.
- Frequent switching between skin substitute codes without clinical justification may be considered abusive billing and subject to review.
- Codes billed in association with the primary product code may also be denied if the product is considered “not medically necessary” per the policy criteria above.
- For vocal cord paralysis treatment, the following diagnosis codes should be used:
 - J38.02 Paralysis of vocal cords and larynx, bilateral
 - J38.00 Paralysis of vocal cords and larynx, unspecified
 - J38.01 Paralysis of vocal cords and larynx, unilateral

CODES*		
CPT	15011	Harvest of skin for skin cell suspension autograft; first 25 sq cm or less
	15012	Harvest of skin for skin cell suspension autograft; each additional 25 sq cm or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
	15013	Preparation of skin cell suspension autograft, requiring enzymatic processing, manual mechanical disaggregation of skin cells, and filtration; first 25 sq cm or less of harvested skin
	15014	Preparation of skin cell suspension autograft, requiring enzymatic processing, manual mechanical disaggregation of skin cells, and filtration; each additional 25 sq cm of harvested skin or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
	15015	Application of skin cell suspension autograft to wound and donor sites, including application of primary dressing, trunk, arms, legs; first 480 sq cm or less
	15016	Application of skin cell suspension autograft to wound and donor sites, including application of primary dressing, trunk, arms, legs; each additional

		480 sq cm or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15017		Application of skin cell suspension autograft to wound and donor sites, including application of primary dressing, face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits; first 480 sq cm or less
15018		Application of skin cell suspension autograft to wound and donor sites, including application of primary dressing, face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits; each additional 480 sq cm or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15271		Application of skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; first 25 sq cm or less wound surface area
15272		Application of skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; each additional 25 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15273		Application of skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; first 100 sq cm wound surface area, or 1% of body area of infants and children
15274		Application of skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; each additional 100 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof, or each additional 1% of body area of infants and children, or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15275		Application of skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; first 25 sq cm or less wound surface area
15276		Application of skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; each additional 25 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15277		Application of skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; first 100 sq cm wound surface area, or 1% of body area of infants and children
15278		Application of skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; each additional 100 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof, or each additional 1% of body area of infants and children, or part thereof (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
15777		Implantation of biologic implant (eg, acellular dermal matrix) for soft tissue reinforcement (ie, breast, trunk) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

HCPCS	A2001	Innovamatrix ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2002	Mirragen advanced wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2004	Xcellistem, 1mg
	A2005	Microlyte matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2006	Novosorb synpath dermal matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2007	Restrata, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2008	Theragenesis, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2009	Symphony, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2010	Apis, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2011	Supra sdrm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2012	Suprathel, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2013	Innovamatrix fs, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2014	Omeza collagen matrix or omeza complete matrix, per 100 mg
	A2015	Phoenix wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2016	Permeaderm b, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2017	Permeaderm glove, each
	A2018	Permeaderm c, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2019	Kerecis omega3 marigen shield, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2020	Ac5 advanced wound system (ac5)
	A2021	Neomatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2022	Innovaburn or innovamatrix xl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2023	Innovamatrix pd, 1 mg
	A2024	Resolve matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure) (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	A2025	Miro3d, per cubic centimeter
	A2026	Restrata minimatrix, 5 mg
	A2027	Matriderm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

A2028	Micromatrix flex, per mg
A2029	Mirotract wound matrix sheet, per cubic centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2030	Miro3d fibers, per milligram
A2031	Mirodry wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2032	Myriad matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2033	Myriad morcells, 4 milligrams
A2034	Foundation drs solo, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2035	Corplex p or theracor p or allacor p, per milligram
A2036	Cohealyx collagen dermal matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2037	G4derm plus /suprello, per milliliter
A2038	Marigen pacto, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2039	Innovamatrix fd, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
A2040	Microlyte painguard, per square centimeter
A2041	Foundation drs+ duo, per square centimeter
A2042	Foundation drs+ solo, per square centimeter
A2043	Biobrane, per square centimeter
A2044	Biobrane glove, each
A2045	Novashield or novogen wound matrix, per square centimeter
A4100	Skin substitute, FDA cleared as a device, not otherwise specified (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
C1763	Connective tissue, non-human (includes synthetic)
C1781	Mesh (implantable)
C1832	Autograft suspension, including cell processing and application, and all system components
C5271	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; first 25 sq cm or less wound surface area
C5272	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; each additional 25 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
C5273	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; first 100 sq cm wound surface area, or 1% of body area of infants and children
C5274	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to trunk, arms, legs, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; each additional 100 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof, or each additional 1% of body area of infants and children, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

C5275	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; first 25 sq cm or less wound surface area
C5276	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; each additional 25 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
C5277	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; first 100 sq cm wound surface area, or 1% of body area of infants and children
C5278	Application of low cost skin substitute graft to face, scalp, eyelids, mouth, neck, ears, orbits, genitalia, hands, feet, and/or multiple digits, total wound surface area greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; each additional 100 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof, or each additional 1% of body area of infants and children, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
C8002	Preparation of skin cell suspension autograft, automated, including all enzymatic processing and device components (do not report with manual suspension preparation)
C9354	Veritas collagen matrix, cm2
C9356	Tendon, porous matrix of cross-linked collagen and glycosaminoglycan matrix (tenoglide tendon protector sheet), per square centimeter
C9358	Dermal substitute, native, non-denatured collagen, fetal bovine origin (surgimend collagen matrix), per 0.5 square centimeters
C9360	Dermal substitute, native, non-denatured collagen, neonatal bovine origin (surgimend collagen matrix), per 0.5 square centimeters
C9363	Skin substitute, integra meshed bilayer wound matrix, per square centimeter
C9364	Porcine implant, permacol, per square centimeter
C9399	Unclassified drugs or biologicals
G0681	Application of a premarket approval (pma), 510(k), 361 human cells, tissues or cellular and tissue-based products (hct/p) non-sheet form skin substitute for a wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; first 25 sq cm or less of wound surface area
G0682	Application of a premarket approval (pma), 510(k), 361 human cells, tissues or cellular and tissue-based products (hct/p) non-sheet form skin substitute for a wound surface area up to 100 sq cm; each additional 25 sq cm wound surface area, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
G0683	Application of a premarket approval (pma), 510(k), 361 human cells, tissues or cellular and tissue-based products (hct/p) non-sheet form skin substitute graft for a wound surface greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; first 100 sq cm wound surface area, or 1% of body area of infants and children

G0684	Application of a premarket approval (pma), 510(k), 361 human cells, tissues or cellular and tissue-based products (hct/p) non-sheet form skin substitute graft for a wound surface greater than or equal to 100 sq cm; each additional 100 sq cm wound surface area or part thereof, or each additional 1% of body area of infants and children, or part thereof (list separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
Q4100	Skin substitute, not otherwise specified (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4101	Apligraf, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4102	Oasis wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4103	Oasis burn matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4104	Integra bilayer matrix wound dressing (bmwd), per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4105	Integra dermal regeneration template (drt) or integra omnigraft dermal regeneration matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4106	Dermagraft, per square centimeter
Q4107	Graftjacket, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4108	Integra matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4110	Primatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4111	Gammagraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4112	Cymetra, injectable, 1 cc
Q4113	Graftjacket xpress, injectable, 1 cc
Q4114	Integra flowable wound matrix, injectable, 1 cc
Q4115	Alloskin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4116	Alloderm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4117	Hyalomatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4118	Acell Matristem micromatrix, 1 mg
Q4121	Theraskin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4122	Dermacell, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4124	Oasis ultra tri-layer wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4123	Alloskin rt, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4125	Arthroflex, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4126	Memoderm, dermaspan, tranzgraft or integuply, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4127	Talymed, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4128	Flex hd, or allopatch hd, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4130	Strattice tm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4132	Grafix core, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4133	Grafix prime , grafixpl prime, stravix and stravixpl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4134	Hmatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4135	Mediskin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4136	Ez-derm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4137	Amnioexcel or biodexcel, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4138	Biodfence dryflex, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4139	Amniomatrix or biodmatrix, injectable, 1 cc
Q4140	Biodfence, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4141	Alloskin ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4142	Xcm biologic tissue matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4143	Repriza, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4145	Epifix, injectable, 1 mg
Q4146	Tensix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4147	Architect, architect px, or architect fx, extracellular matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4148	Neox 1k, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4149	Excellagen, 0.1 cc
Q4150	Allowrap ds or dry, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4151	Amnioband or guardian, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

	Q4152	Dermapure, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4153	Dermavest and plurivest, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4154	Biovance, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4155	Neoxflo or clariflo, 1 mg (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4156	Neox 100 or clarix 100, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4157	Revitalon, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4158	Kerecis omega3, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4159	Affinity, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4160	Nushield, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4161	Bio-connekt wound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4162	Woundex flow, bioskin flow, 0.5 cc
	Q4163	Woundex, bioskin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4164	Helicoll, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4165	Keramatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4166	Acell Cytal, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4167	Truskin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4168	Amnioband, 1 mg
	Q4169	Artacent wound, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4170	Cygnus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4171	Interfyl, 1 mg
	Q4173	Palingen or palingen xplus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4174	Palingen or promatrx, 0.36 mg per 0.25 cc
	Q4175	Miroderm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4176	Neopatch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure) (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4177	Floweramnioflo, 0.1 cc

	Q4178	Floweramniopatch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4179	Flowerderm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4180	Revita, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4181	Amnio wound, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4182	Transcyte, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4183	Surgigraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4184	Cellesta, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4185	Cellesta flowable amnion (25 mg per cc); per 0.5 cc
	Q4186	Epifix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4187	Epicord, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4188	Amnioarmor, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4189	Artacent ac, 1 mg
	Q4190	Artacent ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4191	Restorigin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4192	Restorigin, 1 cc
	Q4193	Coll-e-derm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4194	Novachor, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4195	Puraply, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4196	Puraply am, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4197	Puraply xt, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4198	Genesis amniotic membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4199	Cygnus matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4200	Skin te, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4201	Matrion, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4202	Keroxx (2.5g/cc), 1cc

	Q4203	Derma-gide, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4204	Xwrap, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4205	Membrane graft or membrane wrap, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4206	Fluid flow or fluid GF, 1 cc
	Q4208	Novafix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4209	Surgraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4211	Amnion bio or Axobiomembrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4212	Allogen, per cc
	Q4213	Ascent, 0.5 mg
	Q4214	Cellesta cord, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4215	Axolotl ambient or axolotl cryo, 0.1 mg
	Q4216	Artacent cord, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4217	Woundfix, BioWound, Woundfix Plus, BioWound Plus, Woundfix Xplus or BioWound Xplus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4218	Surgicord, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4219	Surgigraft-dual, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4220	BellaCell HD or Surederm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4221	Amniowrap2, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4222	Progenatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4224	Human health factor 10 amniotic patch (hhf10-p), per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4225	Amniobind or dermbind tl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4226	MyOwn skin, includes harvesting and preparation procedures, per square centimeter
	Q4227	Amniocore, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4229	Cogenex amniotic membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4230	Cogenex flowable amnion, per 0.5 cc
	Q4231	Termed 3/31/2025 Complex p, per cc

Q4232	Corplex, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4233	Surfactor or nudyn, per 0.5 cc
Q4234	Xcellerate, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4235	Amniorepair or altiPLY, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4236	Carepatch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4237	Cryo-cord, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4238	Derm-maxx, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4239	Amnio-maxx or amnio-maxx lite, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4240	Corecyte, for topical use only, per 0.5 cc
Q4241	Polycyte, for topical use only, per 0.5 cc
Q4242	Amniocyte plus, per 0.5 cc
Q4245	Amniotext, per cc
Q4246	Coretext or protext, per cc
Q4247	Amniotext patch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4248	Dermacyte amniotic membrane allograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4249	AmniPLY, for topical use only, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4250	Amnioamp-mp, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4251	Vim, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4252	Vendaje, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4253	Zenith amniotic membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4254	Novafix dl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4255	Reguard, for topical use only, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4256	Mlg-complete, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4257	Relese, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4258	Enverse, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4259	Celera dual layer or celera dual membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4260	Signature apatch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4261	Tag, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4262	Dual layer impax membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4263	Surgraft tl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4264	Cocoon membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4265	Neostim tl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4266	Neostim membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4267	Neostim dl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4268	Surgraft ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4269	Surgraft xt, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4270	Complete sl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4271	Complete ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4272	Esano a, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4273	Esano aaa, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4274	Esano ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4275	Esano aca, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4276	Orion, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4278	Epieffect, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4279	Vendaje ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4280	Xcell amnio matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4281	Barrera SL or barrera DL, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4282	Cygnus Dual, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4283	Biovance tri-layer or biovance 3l, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

	Q4284	Dermabind sl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4285	Nudyn dl or nudyn dl mesh, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4286	Nudyn sl or nudyn slw, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4287	Dermabind dl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4288	Dermabind ch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4289	Revoshield + amniotic barrier, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4290	Membrane wrap-hydro, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4291	Lamellas xt, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4292	Lamellas, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4293	Acesso dl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4294	Amnio quad-core, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4295	Amnio tri-core amniotic, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4296	Rebound matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4297	Emerge matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4298	Amniocore pro, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4299	Amnicore pro+, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4300	Acesso tl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4301	Activate matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4302	Complete aca, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4303	Complete aa, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4304	Grafix plus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4305	American amnion ac tri-layer, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4306	American amnion ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4307	American amnion, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4308	Sanopellis, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4309	Via matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4310	Procenta, per 100 mg
Q4311	Acesso, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4312	Acesso ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4313	Dermabind fm, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4314	Reeva ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4315	Regenelink amniotic membrane allograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4316	Amchoplast, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4317	Vitograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4318	E-graft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4319	Sanograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4320	Pellograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4321	Renograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4322	Caregraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4323	Alloply, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4324	Amniotx, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4325	Acapatch, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4326	Woundplus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4327	Duoamnion, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4328	Most, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4329	Singlay, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4330	Total, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4331	Axolotl graft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4332	Axolotl dualgraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4333	Ardeograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4334	Amnioplast 1, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4335	Amnioplast 2, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4336	Artacent c, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4337	Artacent trident, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4338	Artacent velos, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4339	Artacent vericlen, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4340	Simpligraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4341	Simplimax, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4342	Theramend, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4343	Dermacyte ac matrix amniotic membrane allograft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4344	Tri-membrane wrap, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4345	Matrix hd allograft dermis, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4346	Shelter dm matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4347	Rampart dl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4348	Sentry sl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4349	Mantle dl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4350	Palisade dm matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4351	Enclose tl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4352	Overlay sl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4353	Xceed tl matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4354	Palingen dual-layer membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4355	Abiomend xplus membrane and abiomend xplus hydromembrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4356	Abiomend membrane and abiomend hydromembrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4357	Xwrap plus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4358	Xwrap dual, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4359	Choriplay, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4360	Amchoplast fd, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4361	Epixpress, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4362	Cygnus disk, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4363	Amnio burgeon membrane and hydromembrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4364	Amnio burgeon xplus membrane and xplus hydromembrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4365	Amnio burgeon dual-layer membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4366	Dual layer amnio burgeon x-membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4367	Amniocore sl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4368	Amchothick, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4369	Amnioplast 3, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4370	Aeroguard, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4371	Neoguard, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4372	Amchoplast excel, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4373	Membrane wrap lite, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4375	Duograft ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4376	Duograft aa, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4377	Trigraft ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

Q4378	Renew ft matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4379	Amniodefend ft matrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4380	Advograft one, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4381	Matrix hd allograft dermis, per square centimeter
Q4382	Advograft dual, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4383	Axolotl graft ultra, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4384	Axolotl dualgraft ultra, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4385	Apollo ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4386	Acesso trifaca, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4387	Neothelium ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4388	Neothelium 4I, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4389	Neothelium 4I+, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4390	Ascendion, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4391	Amnioplast double, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4392	Grafix duo, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4393	Surgraft ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4394	Surgraft aca, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4395	Acelagraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4396	Natalin, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4397	Summit aaa, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4398	Summit ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4399	Summit fx, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4400	Polygon3 membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
Q4401	Absolv3 membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

	Q4402	Xwrap 2.0, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4403	Xwrap dual plus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4404	Xwrap hydro plus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4405	Xwrap fenestra plus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4406	Xwrap fenestra, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4407	Xwrap tribus, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4408	Xwrap hydro, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4409	Amniomatrixf3x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4410	Amchomatrixdl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4411	Amniomatrixf4x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4412	Choriofix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4413	Cygnus solo, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4414	Simplichor, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4415	Alexiguard sl-t, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4416	Alexiguard tl-t, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4417	Alexiguard dl-t, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4418	Biolab membrane wrap flow, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4419	Biolab membrane wrap lite flow, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4420	Nuform, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4421	Biolab membrane wrap solo, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4422	A/c wrap, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4423	Biolab tri-membrane wrap flow, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4424	Revive ft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

	Q4425	Revive tl, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4426	Dermabind tl + or dermabind tl x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4427	Dermabind dl n or dermabind dl + or dermabind dl x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4428	Dermabind sl n or dermabind sl + or dermabind sl x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4429	Dermabind ch n or dermabind ch x, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4431	Pma skin substitute product, not otherwise specified (list in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4432	510(k) skin substitute product, not otherwise specified (list in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4433	361 hct/p skin substitute product, not otherwise specified (list in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4435	Renati membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4436	Renati ac membrane, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4437	Revival ac, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4438	Prectect, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4439	Instagraft, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)
	Q4440	Curamatrix, per square centimeter (add-on, list separately in addition to primary procedure)

***Coding Notes:**

- The above code list is provided as a courtesy and may not be all-inclusive. Inclusion or omission of a code from this policy neither implies nor guarantees reimbursement or coverage. Some codes may not require routine review for medical necessity, but they are subject to provider contracts, as well as member benefits, eligibility and potential utilization audit.
- All unlisted codes are reviewed for medical necessity, correct coding, and pricing at the claim level. If an unlisted code is submitted for non-covered services addressed in this policy then it will be **denied as not covered**. If an unlisted code is submitted for potentially covered services addressed in this policy, to avoid post-service denial, **prior authorization is recommended**.
- **See the non-covered and prior authorization lists on the Company [Medical Policy](#), [Reimbursement Policy](#), [Pharmacy Policy](#) and [Provider Information website](#) for additional information.**
- HCPCS/CPT code(s) may be subject to National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) procedure-to-procedure (PTP) bundling edits and daily maximum edits known as “medically unlikely edits” (MUEs) published by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). This policy does not take precedence over NCCI edits or MUEs. Please refer to the CMS website for coding guidelines and applicable code combinations.

REFERENCES

1. Evidence-Based (EB) Medicine: Burn Classification. https://www.ebmedicine.net/topics.php?paction=showTopicSeg&topic_id=111&seg_id=2138. Accessed 12/19/2025.
2. Halim AS, Khoo TL, Mohd Yusoff SJ. Biologic and synthetic skin substitutes: An overview. *Indian journal of plastic surgery : official publication of the Association of Plastic Surgeons of India*. 2010;43(Suppl):S23-28.
3. Hayes. Cellular Skin Substitutes for Chronic Foot Ulcers in Adults with Diabetes Mellitus. Reviewed 2023. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.skincarefoot4193>. Published 2020. Accessed 12/19/2025.
4. Hayes. Skin Substitutes for Venous Leg Ulcers in Adults. Reviewed 2023. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.cerskinvenous4210>. Published 2020. Accessed 12/19/2025.
5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Labeling Updates for BD Mesh Products - Letter to Health Care Providers. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/letters-health-care-providers/labeling-updates-bd-mesh-products-letter-health-care-providers>. Published 2023. Accessed 12/19/2025.
6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration: Tissue & Tissue Products. <https://www.fda.gov/biologicsbloodvaccines/tissuetissueproducts/default.htm>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
7. Instructions for Use: Alloderm Regenerative Tissue Matrix. http://www.lifecell.com/fileadmin/media/US_files/Alloderm_IFU_Rev_D.pdf. Accessed 12/19/2025.
8. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Labeling: Apligraf. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P950032>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
9. U.S. Food and Drug Administration 510(k) Summary: Biobrane. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K791184>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
10. Biobrane Mode of Use/Application. <https://www.smith-nephew.com/key-products/advanced-wound-management/other-wound-care-products/biobrane/>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
11. Novadaq: Dermacell AWM Advanced Decellularized Dermis. <http://novadaq.com/products/dermacell/>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
12. Dermagraft Patient Guide. <https://organogenesis.com/pdf/advanced-wound-care-dermagraft-patient-brochure.pdf>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
13. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Humanitarian Device: EpiCell. <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/PremarketApprovalsPMAs/UCM538555.pdf>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
14. EpiFix. https://www.mimedx.com/products#quicktabs-product_tabs=2. Accessed 12/19/2025.
15. Labeling: FlexHD Acellular Dermis. <https://www.mtobiologics.org/docs/default-source/packageinserts/2020/pi-31-rev-18.pdf>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
16. Grafix Cryopreserved Placental Membrane. <https://www.grafixpl.com/>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
17. GraftJacket Total Regenerative Tissue Matrix. <http://www.wright.com/healthcare-professionals/graftjacket>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
18. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data: Integra Derma Regeneration Template. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P900033>. Published 2016. Accessed 12/19/2025.

19. U.S. Food and Drug Administration 510(k) Premarket Notification: Bilayer Matrix Wound Dressing. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K021792>. Published 2002. Accessed 12/19/2025.
20. U.S. Food and Drug Administration 510(k) Premarket Notification: Integra Meshed Bilayer Wound Matrix. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm?ID=K081635>. Published 2008. Accessed 12/19/2025.
21. U.S. Food and Drug Administration 510(k) Summary: Oasis Wound Therapy Matrix. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K002443>. Published 2006. Accessed 12/19/2025.
22. TheraSkin. <http://theraskin.com/provider-old/about-theraskin/>. Accessed 10/26/2020.
23. U.S. Food and Drug Administration Premarket Approval Database: TransCyte. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?start_search=1&PMANumber=P960007&SupplementType=NONE. Published 1998. Accessed 12/19/2025.
24. Jansen LA, Macadam SA. The use of AlloDerm in postmastectomy alloplastic breast reconstruction: part I. A systematic review. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2011;127(6):2232-2244.
25. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE): Questions and Answers. <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM389275.pdf>. Published 2014. Accessed 12/19/2025.
26. Hayes. Acellular Skin Substitutes for Chronic Foot Ulcers in Adults with Diabetes Mellitus. Reviewed 2023. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.skisubamnio4822>. Published 2020. Accessed 12/19/2025.
27. Santema TB, Poyck PP, Ubbink DT. Systematic review and meta-analysis of skin substitutes in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers: Highlights of a Cochrane systematic review. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society*. 2016;24(4):737-744.
28. Kim JY, Davila AA, Persing S, et al. A meta-analysis of human acellular dermis and submuscular tissue expander breast reconstruction. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2012;129(1):28-41.
29. Chun YS, Verma K, Rosen H, et al. Implant-based breast reconstruction using acellular dermal matrix and the risk of postoperative complications. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2010;125(2):429-436.
30. Brooke S, Mesa J, Uluer M, et al. Complications in tissue expander breast reconstruction: a comparison of AlloDerm, DermaMatrix, and FlexHD acellular inferior pole dermal slings. *Annals of plastic surgery*. 2012;69(4):347-349.
31. Liu DZ, Mathes DW, Neligan PC, Said HK, Louie O. Comparison of outcomes using AlloDerm versus FlexHD for implant-based breast reconstruction. *Annals of plastic surgery*. 2014;72(5):503-507.
32. Seth AK, Persing S, Connor CM, et al. A comparative analysis of cryopreserved versus prehydrated human acellular dermal matrices in tissue expander breast reconstruction. *Annals of plastic surgery*. 2013;70(6):632-635.
33. Seth AK, Hirsch EM, Fine NA, Kim JY. Utility of acellular dermis-assisted breast reconstruction in the setting of radiation: a comparative analysis. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2012;130(4):750-758.

34. Austin RE, Merchant N, Shahrokhi S, Jeschke MG. A comparison of Biobrane and cadaveric allograft for temporizing the acute burn wound: Cost and procedural time. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2015;41(4):749-753.
35. Krezdorn N, Konneker S, Paprottka FJ, et al. Biobrane versus topical agents in the treatment of adult scald burns. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2017;43(1):195-199.
36. Vloemans AF, Hermans MH, van der Wal MB, Liebrechts J, Middelkoop E. Optimal treatment of partial thickness burns in children: a systematic review. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2014;40(2):177-190.
37. Carsin H, Ainaud P, Le Bever H, et al. Cultured epithelial autografts in extensive burn coverage of severely traumatized patients: a five year single-center experience with 30 patients. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2000;26(4):379-387.
38. Munster AM, Weiner SH, Spence RJ. Cultured epidermis for the coverage of massive burn wounds. A single center experience. *Annals of surgery*. 1990;211(6):676-679; discussion 679-680.
39. Groos N, Guillot M, Zilliox R, Braye FM. Use of an artificial dermis (Integra) for the reconstruction of extensive burn scars in children. About 22 grafts. *European journal of pediatric surgery : official journal of Austrian Association of Pediatric Surgery [et al] = Zeitschrift fur Kinderchirurgie*. 2005;15(3):187-192.
40. Branski LK, Herndon DN, Pereira C, et al. Longitudinal assessment of Integra in primary burn management: a randomized pediatric clinical trial. *Critical care medicine*. 2007;35(11):2615-2623.
41. Lagus H, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Bohling T, Vuola J. Prospective study on burns treated with Integra(R), a cellulose sponge and split thickness skin graft: comparative clinical and histological study--randomized controlled trial. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2013;39(8):1577-1587.
42. Lee LF, Porch JV, Spenler W, Garner WL. Integra in lower extremity reconstruction after burn injury. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2008;121(4):1256-1262.
43. Heitland A, Piatkowski A, Noah EM, Pallua N. Update on the use of collagen/glycosaminoglycate skin substitute--six years of experiences with artificial skin in 15 German burn centers. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2004;30(5):471-475.
44. Heimbach DM, Warden GD, Luterman A, et al. Multicenter postapproval clinical trial of Integra dermal regeneration template for burn treatment. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2003;24(1):42-48.
45. Ryan CM, Schoenfeld DA, Malloy M, Schulz JT, 3rd, Sheridan RL, Tompkins RG. Use of Integra artificial skin is associated with decreased length of stay for severely injured adult burn survivors. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 2002;23(5):311-317.
46. Lukish JR, Eichelberger MR, Newman KD, et al. The use of a bioactive skin substitute decreases length of stay for pediatric burn patients. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2001;36(8):1118-1121.
47. Kumar RJ, Kimble RM, Boots R, Pegg SP. Treatment of partial-thickness burns: a prospective, randomized trial using Transcyte. *ANZ journal of surgery*. 2004;74(8):622-626.
48. Amani H, Dougherty WR, Blome-Eberwein S. Use of Transcyte and dermabrasion to treat burns reduces length of stay in burns of all size and etiology. *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 2006;32(7):828-832.
49. Noordenbos J, Dore C, Hansbrough JF. Safety and efficacy of TransCyte for the treatment of partial-thickness burns. *The Journal of burn care & rehabilitation*. 1999;20(4):275-281.

50. Demling RH, DeSanti L. Management of partial thickness facial burns (comparison of topical antibiotics and bio-engineered skin substitutes). *Burns : journal of the International Society for Burn Injuries*. 1999;25(3):256-261.
51. Frykberg RG, Gibbons GW, Walters JL, Wukich DK, Milstein FC. A prospective, multicentre, open-label, single-arm clinical trial for treatment of chronic complex diabetic foot wounds with exposed tendon and/or bone: positive clinical outcomes of viable cryopreserved human placental membrane. *International wound journal*. 2017;14(3):569-577.
52. Lavery LA, Fulmer J, Shebetka KA, et al. The efficacy and safety of Grafix((R)) for the treatment of chronic diabetic foot ulcers: results of a multi-centre, controlled, randomised, blinded, clinical trial. *International wound journal*. 2014;11(5):554-560.
53. Hayes. Grafix Cryopreserved Placental Membrane (Osiris Technologies Inc.) for Treatment of Chronic Foot Ulcers in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus. Published 9/3/2019. Reviewed 2022. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/htb.grafix3139>. Accessed 12/19/2025.
54. Driver VR, Lavery LA, Reyzelman AM, et al. A clinical trial of Integra Template for diabetic foot ulcer treatment. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society*. 2015;23(6):891-900.
55. Yao M, Attalla K, Ren Y, French MA, Driver VR. Ease of use, safety, and efficacy of integra bilayer wound matrix in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers in an outpatient clinical setting: a prospective pilot study. *Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association*. 2013;103(4):274-280.
56. ECRI. Integra Bilayer Matrix (Integra LifeSciences Corp.) for Treating Diabetic Foot Ulcers. <https://www.ecri.org/components/ProductBriefs/Pages/210078.aspx>. Published 2021. Accessed 12/19/2025.
57. Serena TE, Carter MJ, Le LT, Sabo MJ, DiMarco DT. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial evaluating the use of dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane allografts and multilayer compression therapy vs. multilayer compression therapy alone in the treatment of venous leg ulcers. *Wound repair and regeneration : official publication of the Wound Healing Society [and] the European Tissue Repair Society*. 2014;22(6):688-693.
58. Bianchi C, Cazzell S, Vayser D, Reyzelman AM, Dosluoglu H, Tovmassian G. A multicentre randomised controlled trial evaluating the efficacy of dehydrated human amnion/chorion membrane (EpiFix(R)) allograft for the treatment of venous leg ulcers. *International wound journal*. 2017.
59. Li C, Yang X, Pan J, Shi Z, Li L. Graft for prevention of Frey syndrome after parotidectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons*. 2013;71(2):419-427.
60. Zeng XT, Tang XJ, Wang XJ, et al. AlloDerm implants for prevention of Frey syndrome after parotidectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Molecular medicine reports*. 2012;5(4):974-980.
61. Hayes. Comparative Effectiveness Review of Biologic Mesh for Hernia Repair: A Review of Reviews. <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.biological2768>. Published 2018 (updated in 2022). Accessed 12/19/2025.
62. Antoniou SA, Muller-Stich BP, Antoniou GA, et al. Laparoscopic augmentation of the diaphragmatic hiatus with biologic mesh versus suture repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Langenbeck's archives of surgery*. 2015;400(5):577-583.
63. Huntington CR, Cox TC, Blair LJ, et al. Biologic mesh in ventral hernia repair: Outcomes, recurrence, and charge analysis. *Surgery*. 2016;160(6):1517-1527.

64. Roth JS, Zachem A, Plymale MA, Davenport DL. Complex Ventral Hernia Repair with Acellular Dermal Matrices: Clinical and Quality of Life Outcomes. *The American surgeon*. 2017;83(2):141-147.
65. Garvey PB, Giordano SA, Baumann DP, Liu J, Butler CE. Long-Term Outcomes after Abdominal Wall Reconstruction with Acellular Dermal Matrix. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 2017;224(3):341-350.
66. Ward KC, Costello KP, Baalman S, et al. Effect of acellular human dermis buttress on laparoscopic hiatal hernia repair. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2015;29(8):2291-2297.
67. Fishman AJ, Marrinan MS, Huang TC, Kanowitz SJ. Total tympanic membrane reconstruction: AlloDerm versus temporalis fascia. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2005;132(6):906-915.
68. Lai P, Propst EJ, Papsin BC. Lateral graft type 1 tympanoplasty using AlloDerm for tympanic membrane reconstruction in children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2006;70(8):1423-1429.
69. Vos JD, Latev MD, Labadie RF, Cohen SM, Werkhaven JA, Haynes DS. Use of AlloDerm in Type I Tympanoplasty: A Comparison with Native Tissue Grafts. *The Laryngoscope*. 2005;115(9):1599-1602.
70. Gryskiewicz JM, Rohrich RJ, Reagan BJ. The use of alloderm for the correction of nasal contour deformities. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2001;107(2):561-570; discussion 571.
71. Gryskiewicz JM. Waste not, want not: the use of AlloDerm in secondary rhinoplasty. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2005;116(7):1999-2004.
72. Conrad DJ, Zhang H, Côté DWJ. Acellular Human Dermal Allograft as a Graft for Nasal Septal Perforation Reconstruction. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2018;141(6):1517-1524.
73. Kridel RWH, Foda H, Lunde KC. Septal Perforation Repair With Acellular Human Dermal Allograft. *Archives of Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery*. 1998;124(1):73-78.
74. ECRI Institute Clinical Comparison: Overview of Two Allografts for Repairing Rotator Cuff Tears. Archived. <https://www.ecri.org/components/ProductBriefs/Documents/C5535.pdf>. Published 2017. Accessed 12/19/2025.
75. Gohari S, Gambla C, Healey M, et al. Evaluation of tissue-engineered skin (human skin substitute) and secondary intention healing in the treatment of full thickness wounds after Mohs micrographic or excisional surgery. *Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al]*. 2002;28(12):1107-1114; discussion 1114.
76. Echeverria-Garcia B, Serra-Guillen C, Nagore E, et al. The use of a biosynthetic skin substitute in slow Mohs micrographic surgery. *Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al]*. 2012;38(4):683-689.
77. Gladsjo JA, Kim SS, Jiang SI. Review of the use of a semisynthetic bilaminar skin substitute in dermatology and a case series report of its utility in Mohs surgery. *Journal of drugs in dermatology : JDD*. 2014;13(5):537-541.
78. Muller CS, Schiekhofer C, Korner R, Pfohler C, Vogt T. Improved patient-centered care with effective use of Integra(R) in dermatologic reconstructive surgery. *Journal der Deutschen Dermatologischen Gesellschaft = Journal of the German Society of Dermatology : JDDG*. 2013;11(6):537-548.
79. Cunningham T, Marks M. Vacuum-assisted closure device and skin substitutes for complex Mohs defects. *Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al]*. 2014;40 Suppl 9:S120-126.
80. Onesti MG, Fino P, Fioramonti P, Amorosi V, Scuderi N. Reconstruction after skin cancer excision through a dermal induction template: our experience. *International wound journal*. 2016;13(2):198-203.

81. Campagnari M, Jafelicci AS, Carneiro HA, Brechtbuhl ER, Bertolli E, Duprat Neto JP. Dermal Substitutes Use in Reconstructive Surgery for Skin Tumors: A Single-Center Experience. *International journal of surgical oncology*. 2017;2017:9805980.
82. Dessy LA, Marcasciano M, Fanelli B, Mazzocchi M, Ribuffo D. Surgical treatment of nasal non-melanoma skin cancer in elderly patients using dermal substitute. *Acta oto-laryngologica*. 2016;136(12):1299-1303.
83. De Angelis B, Gentile P, Tati E, et al. One-Stage Reconstruction of Scalp after Full-Thickness Oncologic Defects Using a Dermal Regeneration Template (Integra). *BioMed research international*. 2015;2015:698385.
84. Dika E, Fanti PA, Patrizi A, Misciali C, Vaccari S, Piraccini BM. Mohs Surgery for Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Nail Unit: 10 Years of Experience. *Dermatologic surgery : official publication for American Society for Dermatologic Surgery [et al]*. 2015;41(9):1015-1019.
85. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE): Diabetic Foot Problems- prevention and management. Updated 11 October 2019. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng19/chapter/1-Recommendations>. Published 2016. Accessed 12/19/2025.
86. O'Donnell TF, Jr., Passman MA, Marston WA, et al. Management of venous leg ulcers: Clinical practice guidelines of the Society for Vascular Surgery and the American Venous Forum. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2014;60(2):3S-59S.

POLICY REVISION HISTORY

DATE	REVISION SUMMARY
2/2023	Converted to new policy template.
3/2023	Annual review. Investigational criteria changed to Not Medically Necessary.
4/2023	Interim review. 4/1 code update.
7/2023	Interim review. 7/1 code update.
10/2023	Interim review. 10/1 code update.
1/2024	Q1 2024 code set update.
2/2024	Annual review. Update to criterion XII to remove product names.
4/2024	Interim review. Code added. Q2 2024 code set
7/2024	Q3 2024 code set update. Clarification in criterion I.
10/2024	Q4 2024 code set update.
1/2025	Q1 2025 code set update.
4/2025	Annual review. Additional codes added. Q2 2025 code set update. New codes added and termed codes noted.
7/2025	Q3 2025 code set update. Codes added.
10/2025	Q4 2025 code set update. Codes added.
1/2026	Q1 2026 code set update.
2/2026	Annual review. Updated application limits for venous status ulcers and diabetic foot ulcers. Added examples to criterion I.
4/2026	Q2 2026 code set update.